

Test Report No. TRNo. 618911-01-1 - 3



EVALUATION OF A FOUR BOLT SLIP BASE FOR BREAKAWAY LUMINAIRE SUPPORTS WITH VARIOUS POLE CONFIGURATIONS

Sponsored by The Roadside Safety Pooled Fund

TEXAS A&M TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE PROVING GROUND

Roadside Safety & Physical Security Texas A&M University System RELLIS Campus Building 7091 1254 Avenue A Bryan, TX 77807



1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle Evaluation of a Four Bolt Slip Ba	se for Breakaway Luminaire	5. Report Date December 2024	
Supports with Various Pole Conf	igurations	6. Performing Organization Code	
^{7. Author(s)} James C. Kovar, Nicholas Mazo Brianna E. Bastin	n, Daniel Curran, and	8. Performing Organization Report No. TRNo. 618911-01-1 - 3	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Texas A&M Transportation Institute Proving Ground		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	
3135 TAMU College Station, Texas 77843-3135		11. Contract or Grant No. Contract T4541	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address The Roadside Safety Pooled Fund Research Office MS 47272		13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Report:	
Olympia, WA 98504-7372		2024	
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes Name of Contacting Representa	tive: Matt Luker (Utah DOT)		

16. Abstract

Luminaire poles are a vital aspect of our transportation system. Much work in recent years has been undertaken to evaluate luminaire poles to the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH)* evaluation criteria. Of this previous effort, most projects evaluated frangible transformer base supports; there was minimal research evaluating slip base connections for luminaire pole supports. Consequently, the Roadside Safety Pooled Fund prioritized an evaluation of common designs for luminaire pole slip base supports.

The primary objective of this study was to evaluate critical configurations of a nonproprietary four-bolt slip base luminaire support for MASH crashworthiness. This effort started with a literature review and a state survey, which was aimed at identifying commonly used designs of luminaire pole slip bases. With the results of the literature review and state survey, the research team selected a configuration for full-scale crash testing. The research team subsequently evaluated the luminaire pole and slip base supports with *MASH* crash testing. One of three evaluated systems successfully met *MASH* evaluation criteria. The results of this testing effort demonstrates the need for further research evaluating the crashworthiness of luminaire poles and their support structures. This report details the tasks performed by the research team.

^{17. Key Words}		18. Distribution Statement		
MASH, Luminaire, Slip Base, Crash Test,		No restrictions.		
19. Security Classification. (of this report) 20. Security Classificati Unclassified Unclassified		ion. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages 162	22. Price

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72) Reproduction of completed page authorized.

Technical Report Documentation Page

Evaluation of a Four Bolt Slip Base for Breakaway Luminaire Supports with Various Pole Configurations

by

James C. Kovar Assistant Research Scientist Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Nicholas Mazon Student Technician Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Daniel Curran Graduate Assistant Researcher Texas A&M Transportation Institute

and

Brianna E. Bastin Research Assistant Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Report TRNo. 618911-01-1 - 3 Contract No.: T4541

Sponsored by the

The Roadside Safety Pooled Fund

December 2024

TEXAS A&M TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE College Station, Texas 77843-3135

TR No. 618911-01-1 - 3

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are solely responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data and the opinions, findings, and conclusions presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of The Roadside Safety Pooled Fund, The Texas A&M University System, or the Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI). This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. In addition, the above listed agencies/companies assume no liability for its contents or use thereof. The names of specific products or manufacturers listed herein do not imply endorsement of those products or manufacturers.

The results reported herein apply only to the article tested. The full-scale crash tests were performed according to TTI Proving Ground quality procedures and American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware, Second Edition (*MASH*) guidelines and standards.

The Proving Ground Laboratory within TTI's Roadside Safety and Physical Security Division ("TTI Lab") strives for accuracy and completeness in its crash test reports. On rare occasions, unintentional or inadvertent clerical errors, technical errors, omissions, oversights, or misunderstandings (collectively referred to as "errors") may occur and may not be identified for corrective action prior to the final report being published and issued. If, and when, the TTI Lab discovers an error in a published and issued final report, the TTI Lab will promptly disclose such error to The Roadside Safety Pooled Fund, and both parties shall endeavor in good faith to resolve this situation. The TTI Lab will be responsible for correcting the error that occurred in the report, which may be in the form of errata, amendment, replacement sections, or up to and including full reissuance of the report. The cost of correcting an error in connection with the performance of the related testing contract will not constitute a breach of the testing contract.

THE TTI LAB WILL NOT BE LIABLE FOR ANY INDIRECT, CONSEQUENTIAL, PUNITIVE, OR OTHER DAMAGES SUFFERED BY THE ROADSIDE SAFETY POOLED FUND OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR ENTITY, WHETHER SUCH LIABILITY IS BASED, OR CLAIMED TO BE BASED, UPON ANY NEGLIGENT ACT, OMISSION, ERROR, CORRECTION OF ERROR, DELAY, OR BREACH OF AN OBLIGATION BY THE TTI LAB.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research project was performed under a pooled fund program between the following States and Agencies. The authors acknowledge and appreciate their guidance and assistance.

Roadside Safety Research Pooled Fund Committee

Revised April 2024

ALABAMA

Wade Henry, P.E.

Assistant State Design Engineer Design Bureau, Final Design Division Alabama Dept. of Transportation 1409 Coliseum Boulevard, T-205 Montgomery, AL 36110 (334) 242-6464 henryw@dot.state.al.us

Stanley (Stan) C. Biddick, P.E.

State Design Engineer Alabama Dept. of Transportation 1409 Coliseum Boulevard, T-205 Montgomery, AL 36110 (334) 242-6488 biddicks@dot.state.al.us

<u>ALASKA</u>

Mary F. McRae

Assistant State Traffic & Safety Engineer Alaska Depart. of Transportation & Public Facilities 3132 Channel Drive P.O. Box 112500 Juneau, AK 99811-2500 (907) 465-6963 mary.mcrae@alaska.gov

Micheal Hills

Alaska Depart. of Transportation & Public Facilities <u>micheal.hills@alaska.gov</u>

CALIFORNIA

Bob Meline, P.E. Caltrans Office of Materials and Infrastructure Division of Research and Innovation 5900 Folsom Blvd Sacramento, CA 95819 (916) 227-7031 Bob.Meline@dot.ca.gov

John Jewell, P.E.

Senior Crash Testing Engineer Office of Safety Innovation & Cooperative Research (916) 227-5824 John_Jewell@dot.ca.gov

COLORADO

David Kosmiski, P.E.

Miscellaneous (M) Standards Engineer Division of Project Support, Construction Engineering Services (CES) Branch Colorado Dept. of Transportation (CDOT) 2829 W. Howard PI. Denver, CO 80204 303-757-9021 david.kosmiski@state.co.us

Andy Pott, P.E.

Senior Bridge Design and Construction Engineer Division of Project Support, Staff Bridge Design and Construction Management Colorado Dept. of Transportation (CDOT) 4201 E Arkansas Ave, 4th Floor Denver, CO 80222 303-512-4020 andrew.pott@state.co.us

Shawn Yu, P.E.

Miscellaneous (M) Standards and Specifications Unit Manager Division of Project Support, Construction Engineering Services (CES) Branch Colorado Dept. of Transportation (CDOT) 4201 E Arkansas Ave, 4th Floor Denver, CO 80222 303-757-9474 shawn.yu@state.co.us

Amin Fakhimalizad

Assistant Miscellaneous (M) Standards Engineer Division of Project Support, Construction Engineering Services (CES) Branch Colorado Dept. of Transportation (CDOT) 303-757-9229 amin.fakhimalizad@state.co.us

Man (Steven) Yip

Division of Project Support, Construction Engineering Services (CES) Branch Colorado Dept. of Transportation (CDOT) <u>man.yip@state.co.us</u>

CONNECTICUT

David Kilpatrick

Transportation Supervising Engineer State of Connecticut Depart. of Transportation 2800 Berlin Turnpike Newington, CT 06131-7546 (806) 594-3288 David.Kilpatrick@ct.gov

Todd Ingarra

todd.ingarra@ct.gov

DELAWARE

Cassidy Blowers

Construction Resource Engineer Construction Section Delaware DOT (302)760-2336 Cassidy.Blowers@delaware.gov

James Osborne

Traffic Safety Programs Manager Traffic Operations Delaware DOT (302)659-4651 James.Osborne@delaware.gov

FLORIDA

Richard Stepp Florida Department of Transportation <u>Richard.Stepp@dot.state.fl.us</u>

Derwood C. Sheppard, Jr., P.E.

State Roadway Design Engineer Florida Depart. of Transportation Roadway Design Office 605 Suwannee Street, MS-32 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0450 (850) 414-4334 Derwood.Sheppard@dot.state.fl.us

IDAHO

Marc Danley, P.E. Technical Engineer (208) 334-8558 Marc.danley@itd.idaho.gov

Kevin Sablan

Design/Traffic Engineer Idaho Transportation Department (208) 334-8558 <u>Kevin.sablan@itd.idaho.gov</u>

ILLINOIS

Martha A. Brown, P.E.

Safety Design Bureau Chief Bureau of Safety Programs and Engineering Illinois Depart. of Transportation 2300 Dirksen Parkway, Room 005 Springfield, IL 62764 (217) 785-3034 Martha.A.Brown@illinois.gov

Edgar A. Galofre, MSCE, P.E.

Safety Design Engineer Bureau of Safety Programs and Engineering Illinois Department of Transportation 2300 S. Dirksen Parkway, Room 007 Springfield, IL 62764 (217) 558-9089 Edgar.Galofre@illinois.gov

<u>IOWA</u>

Daniel Harness

Design Bureau – Methods Section lowa Department of Transportation Daniel.Harness@iowadot.us

Chris Poole

State Traffic Engineer Traffic and Safety Bureau Iowa Department of Transportation Chris.Poole@iowadot.us

<u>LOUISIANA</u>

Carl Gaudry Bridge Design Manager Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development Bridge & Structural Design Section P.O. Box 94245 Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9245 (225) 379-1075 Carl.Gaudry@la.gov

Chris Guidry

Assistant Bridge Design Administrator Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development Bridge & Structural Design Section P.O. Box 94245 Baton Rouge, LA 79084-9245 (225) 379-1328 Chris.Guidry@la.gov

MARYLAND

Philip Brentlinger Maryland State Highway Administration pbrentlinger@mdot.maryland.gov

MASSACHUSETTS

James Danila Assistant State Traffic Engineer Massachusetts Depart. of Transportation (857) 368-9640 James.danila@state.ma.us

Alex Bardow

Director of Bridges and Structure Massachusetts Depart. of Transportation 10 Park Plaza, Room 6430 Boston, MA 02116 (857) 368-9430 Alexander.Bardow@state.ma.us

MICHIGAN

Carlos Torres, P.E. Roadside Safety Engineer Geometric Design Unit, Design Division Michigan Depart. of Transportation P. O. Box 30050 Lansing, MI 48909 (517) 335-2852 TorresC@michigan.gov

TR No. 612061-08

MINNESOTA

Khamsai Yang Design Standards Engineer Office of Project Management and Technical Support (612) 322-5601 Khamsai.Yang@state.mn.us

Brian Tang

Assistant Design Standards Engineer Office of Project Management and Technical Support Minnesota Department of Transportation brian.tang@state.mn.us

MISSOURI

Gidget Koestner

Policy & Innovations Engineer Central Office- Design Missouri Department of Transportation (573) 751-6905 gidget.koestner@modot.mo.gov

Kirby Woods

Roadside Design Engineer Missouri Department of Transportation (573) 472-5333 <u>kirby.woodsjr@modot.mo.gov</u>

NEW MEXICO

Brad Julian New Mexico Department of Transportation Traffic Technical Support Engineer (505) 469-1405 Brad.Julian@dot.nm.gov

NEVADA

David Fox, P.E. Specifications Engineer Roadway Design Division Nevada Dept. of Transportation 1263 S. Stewart St. Carson City, NV 89712 (775) 888-7053 DWFox@dot.nv.gov

Tim Rudnick

Standards and Manuals Supervisor Roadway Design Division Nevada Dept. of Transportation 1263 S. Stewart St. Carson City, NV 89712 (775) 888-7598 TRudnick@dot.nv.gov

<u>OHIO</u>

Don P. Fisher, P.E. Ohio Depart. of Transportation 1980 West Broad Street Mail Stop 1230 Columbus, OH 43223 (614) 387-2614 Don.fisher@dot.ohio.gov

OREGON

Christopher Henson Senior Roadside Design Engineer Oregon Depart. of Transportation Technical Service Branch 4040 Fairview Industrial Drive, SE Salem, OR 97302-1142 (503) 986-3561 Christopher.S.Henson@odot.state.or.us

PENNSYLVANIA

James A. Borino, Jr., P.E. Chief, Standards and Criteria Unit Highway Design and Technology Division Pennsylvania DOT (717) 612-4791 jborino@pa.gov

Evan Pursel

Senior Civil Engineer Highway Design and Technology Division Pennsylvania DOT (717) 705-8535 <u>epursel@pa.gov</u>

Nina Ertel

Project Development Engineer Highway Design and Technology Division Pennsylvania DOT (717) 425-7679 nertel@pa.gov

TENNESSEE

Laura Chandler Engineering Production Support Manager Engineering Division Tennessee Dept. of Transportation (615) 253-4769 Laura.Chandler@tn.gov

Ali Hangul M.S., P.E

State Standards Transportation Engineer Engineering Production Support, Engineering Division Tennessee Dept. of Transportation (615) 741-0840 <u>Ali.Hangul@tn.gov</u>

<u>TEXAS</u>

Chris Lindsey Transportation Engineer Design Division Texas Department of Transportation 125 East 11th Street Austin, TX 78701-2483 (512) 416-2750 Christopher.Lindsey@txdot.gov

Taya Retterer

TxDOT Bridge Standards Engineer Bridge Division Texas Department of Transportation (512) 993-0330 <u>Taya.Retterer@txdot.gov</u>

Wade Odell

Research Project Manager Research & Technology Implementation Division Texas Department of Transportation (512) 416-4737 wade.odell@txdot.gov

<u>UTAH</u>

Clint McCleery

Barrier and Attenuation Specialist Traffic and Safety Operations Utah Department of Transportation (801)712-8685 cmccleery@utah.gov

WASHINGTON

Tim Moeckel

Roadside Safety Engineer Washington State Department of Transportation Development Division P.O. Box 47329 Olympia, WA 98504-7246 (360) 704-6377 moecket@wsdot.wa.gov

Mustafa Mohamedali

Research Manager/Engineering Transportation Safety & System Analysis Research & Library Services (360) 704-6307 <u>mohamem@wsdot.wa.gov</u>

Kevin Burch

Policy Support Engineer Washington State Department of Transportation Development Division

burchk@wsdot.wa.gov

WEST VIRGINIA

Donna J. Hardy, P.E. Mobility, ITS & Safety Engineer West Virginia Depart. of Transportation – Traffic Engineering Building 5, Room A-550 1900 Kanawha Blvd E. Charleston, WV 25305-0430 (304) 414-7338 Donna.J.Hardy@wv.gov

Ted Whitmore

Traffic Services Engineer Traffic Engineering WV Division of Highways (304)414-7373 Ted.J.Whitmore@wv.gov

<u>WISCONSIN</u>

Erik Emerson, P.E.

Standards Development Engineer – Roadside Design Wisconsin Department of Transportation Bureau of Project Development 4802 Sheboygan Avenue, Room 651 P. O. Box 7916 Madison, WI 53707-7916 (608) 266-2842 Erik.Emerson@wi.gov

CANADA – ONTARIO

Kenneth Shannon, P. Eng. Senior Engineer, Highway Design (A) Ontario Ministry of Transportation 301 St. Paul Street St. Catharines, ON L2R 7R4 CANADA (904) 704-3106 Kenneth.Shannon@ontario.ca

FEDERAL HIGHWAY

ADMINISTRATION (FHWA) Website: safety.fhwa.dot.gov

Eduardo Arispe

Research Highway Safety Specialist U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center Mail Code: HRDS-10 6300 Georgetown Pike McLean, VA 22101 (202) 493-3291 Eduardo.arispe@dot.gov

Richard B. (Dick) Albin, P.E.

Senior Safety Engineer Office of Innovation Implementation, Safety & Design Team (303) 550-8804 Dick.Albin@dot.gov

Paul LaFleur, P.E.

Safety Design Team - Roadway Departure Program Manager FHWA Office of Safety U.S. Department of Transportation (515) 233-7308 paul.lafleur@dot.gov

Christine Black

Highway Safety Engineer Central Federal Lands Highway Division 12300 West Dakota Ave. Lakewood, CO 80228 (720) 963-3662 Christine.black@dot.gov

Isbel Ramos-Reyes

Lead Safety and Transportation Operations Engineer Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division (703) 948-1442 isbel.ramos-reyes@dot.gov

TEXAS A&M TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE (TTI)

Website: <u>tti.tamu.edu</u> <u>www.roadsidepooledfund.</u>

org

D. Lance Bullard, Jr., P.E.

Senior Research Engineer Roadside Safety & Physical Security Div. Texas A&M Transportation Institute 3135 TAMU College Station, TX 77843-3135 (979) 317-2855 L-Bullard@tti.tamu.edu

Roger P. Bligh, Ph.D., P.E.

Senior Research Engineer Roadside Safety and Physical Security Division (979) 317-2703 <u>R-Bligh@tti.tamu.edu</u>

Nauman Sheikh, P.E.

Research Engineer Roadside Safety and Physical Security Texas A&M Transportation Institute (979) 317-2703 <u>n-sheikh@tti.tamu.edu</u>

Ariel Sheil

Research Assistant Roadside Safety and Physical Security Texas A&M Transportation Institute (979) 317-2250 <u>A-Sheil@tti.tamu.edu</u>

This page intentionally left blank.

REPORT AUTHORIZATION

REPORT REVIEWED BY:

Hen Schreden

Glenn Schroeder Research Specialist Drafting & Reporting

adan Maye

Adam Mayer Research Specialist Construction

Robert Kocman Research Specialist Mechanical Instrumentation

Coves en

Ken Reeves Research Specialist Electronics Instrumentation

Richard Badillo Research Specialist Photographic Instrumentation

Brianna E. Bastin Research Assistant Research Evaluation and Reporting

Bill L. Griffith Research Specialist Quality Manager

INNK

Matthew N. Robinson Research Specialist Test Facility Manager & Technical Manager

William J. L. Schroeder Research Engineering Associate Research Evaluation and Reporting

James hovar

James C. Kovar Assistant Research Scientist

REVISION LOG

Revision Number	Change(s) Made	Date

TABLE OF CONTENTS

_	Pag	je
Chapter	1. Introduction1	6
Chapter	2. Literature Review1	17
2.1.	Overview1	17
2.2.	Full-Scale 1,800 lb. Vehicle Crash Tests on a 4-Bolt Breakaway Slip base	
Desigr	n (TRP-03-25-91) (<i>3</i>) 1	17
2.3.	Full-Scale Vehicle Crash Tests of Luminaire Supports (Report# 386-005) (4) 2	21
2.4.	Crash Testing of Flashing Beacon Supports2	22
2.5.	Literature Review Summary	24
Chapter	3. State Survey	25
3.1.	Overview	25
3.2.	Survey Questions and Responses	25
Chapter	4. System Details	47
4.1.	Test Article and Installation Details	47
4.2.	Design Modifications during Tests4	47
4.3.	Material Specifications	57
Chapter	5. Test Requirements and Evaluation Criteria	59
5.1.	Crash Test Performed/Matrix	59
5.2.	Evaluation Criteria	30
Chapter	6. Test Conditions6	51
6.1.	Test Facility	51
6.2.	Vehicle Tow and Guidance System	51
6.3.	Data Acquisition Systems	51
6.3.1	1. Vehicle Instrumentation and Data Processing6	51
6.3.2	2. Anthropomorphic Dummy Instrumentation	32
6.3.3	3. Photographic Instrumentation Data Processing	33
Chapter	7. MASH Test 3-60 (Crash Test 618911-01-1)	35
7.1.	Test Designation and Actual Impact Conditions	35
7.2.	Weather Conditions	37
7.3.	Test Vehicle	37
7.4.	Test Description	39
7.5.	Damage to Test Installation6	39
7.6.	Damage to Test Vehicle	70
7.7.	Occupant Risk Factors	73
7.8.	Test Summary	73
Chapter	8. MASH Test 3-60 (Crash Test 618911-01-2)	75
8.1.	Test Designation and Actual Impact Conditions	75
8.2.	Weather Conditions	77
8.3.	Test Vehicle	77
8.4.	Test Description	79
8.5.	Damage to Test Installation	79
8.6.	Damage to Test Vehicle	30
8.7.	Occupant Risk Factors	33
8.8.	Test Summary	33
		-

Chapter	9.	MASH Test 3-60 (Crash Test 618911-01-3)	85
9.1.	Test D	esignation and Actual Impact Conditions	85
9.2.	Weath	er Conditions	87
9.3.	Test V	ehicle	87
9.4.	Test D	escription	88
9.5.	Damag	ge to Test Installation	89
9.6.	Dama	ge to Test Vehicle	90
9.7.	Occup	ant Risk Factors	93
9.8.	Test S	ummary	93
Chapter	10.	Summary and Conclusions	95
10.1.	Asse	essment of Test Results	95
10.2.	Con	clusions and Future Research	95
Referen	ces		97
Append	ix A.	DETAILS FOR TEST 618911-01-1	99
Append	ix B.	DETAILS FOR TEST 618911-01-21	07
Append	ix C.	DETAILS FOR TEST 618911-01-31	15
Append	ix D.	Supporting Certification Documents1	23
Append	ix E.	MASH Test 3-60 (Crash Test 618911-01-1)1	29
E.1.	Vehicle	e Properties and Information1	29
E.2.	Seque	ntial Photographs1	32
E.3.	Vehicle	e Angular Displacements1	34
E.4.	Vehicle	e Accelerations1	36
Append	ix F.	MASH Test 3-60 (Crash Test 618911-01-2)1	41
F.1.	Vehicle	e Properties and Information1	41
F.2.	Seque	ntial Photographs1	44
F.3.	Vehicle	e Angular Displacements1	46
F.4.	Vehicle	e Accelerations1	48
Append	ix G.	MASH Test 3-60 (Crash Test 618911-01-3)1	52
G.1.	Vehicle	e Properties and Information1	52
G.2.	Seque	ntial Photographs1	55
G.3.	Vehicle	e Angular Displacements1	57
G.4.	Vehicle	e Accelerations1	59

LIST OF FIGURES

P	age
Figure 2.1. Details for Direct 4-bolt Slip base Luminaire Support (1/2) (3)	. 18
Figure 2.2. Details for Direct 4-bolt Slip base Luminaire Support (3).	. 19
Figure 2.3. Post-Test images of Vehicle, Luminaire, and Slip base (3)	. 20
Figure 2.4. Post-Test Images of Vehicle	. 21
Figure 2.5. Post-Impact Images of the Vehicle and Assembly (Ninth Test) (4)	. 22
Figure 2.6. Pre-Test Assembly of the Warning Sign with Flashing Beacons (5)	. 23
Figure 2.7. Post-Test Image of Vehicle Damage (First Test) (5)	. 23
Figure 2.8. Post-Test Image of Vehicle Damage (Second Test) (5)	. 24
Figure 3.1. Question 1 Example Image	. 25
Figure 3.2. Question 1 Responses	. 26
Figure 3.3. Illustrative Assistance for Question 2	. 27
Figure 3.4. Colorado's Response for Question 2b.	. 30
Figure 3.5. New Mexico's Response for Question 2b (1/5).	. 31
Figure 3.6. New Mexico's for Question 2b (2/5).	. 32
Figure 3.7. New Mexico's Response for Question 2b (3/5).	. 33
Figure 3.8. New Mexico's Response for Question 2b (4/5)	. 34
Figure 3.9. New Mexico's Response for Question 2b (5/5)	. 35
Figure 3.10. Utah's Response for Question 2b (1/4).	. 36
Figure 3.11. Utah's Response for Question 2b (2/4).	. 37
Figure 3.12. Utah's Response for Question 2b (3/4).	.38
Figure 3.13. Utah's Response for Question 2b (4/4).	.39
Figure 3.14. Colorado's Response for Question 3b (1/4).	. 41
Figure 3.15. Colorado's Response for Question 3b (2/4).	. 42
Figure 3.16. Colorado's Response for Question 3b (3/4).	.43
Figure 3.17. Colorado's Response for Question 3b (4/4)	.44
Figure 3.18. Utah's Response for Question 3b.	.45
Figure 4.1. Details for Test 618911-01-1 of Four Bolt Slip Base Support for	
Luminaire Poles.	. 48
Figure 4.2. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles Prior to Testing	
618911-01-1.	. 49
Figure 4.3. Closeup of the Base for the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire	
Poles Prior to Testing 618911-01-1	49
Figure 4.4. Luminaire Arms of Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles Prior	
to Testing 618911-01-1	.50
Figure 4.5. Base and Footer of the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles	
Prior to Testing 618911-01-1	50
Figure 4.6. Details for Test 618911-01-2 of Four Bolt Slip Base Support for	
I uminaire Poles	51
Figure 4.7 Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles Prior to Testing	
618911-01-2	.52
Figure 4.8. Base and Footer of the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles	
Prior to Testing 618911-01-2	. 52

Figure 4.9. Closeup of the Base for the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire	53
Figure 4.10 Non-Impact Side of Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles	00
Prior to Testing 618911-01-2	53
Figure 4.11. Details for Test 618911-01-3 of Four Bolt Slip Base for Luminaire	с. Г.4
Supports	54
618911-01-3.	55
Figure 4.13. Base and Footer of the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire	
Poles Prior to Testing 618911-01-1	55
Figure 4.14. Closeup of the Base for the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire	
Poles Prior to Testing 618911-01-1	56
Figure 4.15. Luminaire Arm on the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles	
Prior to Testing 618911-01-1	56
Figure 5.1. Target CIP for TEST 618911-01-1	59
Figure 5.2. Target CIP for TEST 618911-01-2	59
Figure 5.3. Target CIP for TEST 618911-01-3	60
Figure 7.1. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles/Test Vehicle	
Geometrics for Test 618911-01-1	66
Figure 7.2. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles/Test Vehicle Impact	~~
Location 618911-01-1.	66
Figure 7.3. Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 618911-01-1.	67
Figure 7.4. Opposite impact Side of Test Venicle before Test 618911-01-1	68
Figure 7.5. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles at Impact Location after	60
Figure 7.6 Luminaire Arms and Pole after Test 618011-01-1	09 70
Figure 7.7 Impact Side of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-1	70
Figure 7.8 Rear Impact Side of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-1	70
Figure 7.9. Overall Interior of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-1	71
Figure 7.10. Interior of Test Vehicle on Impact Side after Test 618911-01-1	72
Figure 7.11. Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-60 on Four Bolt Slip Base	• –
Support for Luminaire Poles	74
Figure 8.1. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles/Test Vehicle	
Geometrics for Test 618911-01-2.	76
Figure 8.2. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles/Test Vehicle Impact	
Location 618911-01-2.	76
Figure 8.3. Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 618911-01-2.	77
Figure 8.4. Opposite Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 618911-01-2	78
Figure 8.5. Test Article at Impact Location after Test 618911-01-2	79
Figure 8.6. Mast Arms after Test 618911-01-2	80
Figure 8.7. Front of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-2	80
Figure 8.8. Rear of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-2.	81
Figure 8.9. Interior of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-2.	81
Figure 8.10. Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-60 on Four Bolt Slip Base	_
Support for Luminaire Poles	84

Figure 9.1. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles/Test Vehicle	
Geometrics for Test 618911-01-3.	. 86
Figure 9.2. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles/Test Vehicle Impact	
Location 618911-01-3.	. 86
Figure 9.3. Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 618911-01-3.	. 87
Figure 9.4. Opposite Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 618911-01-3	. 88
Figure 9.5. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles at Impact Location after	
Test 618911-01-3	. 89
Figure 9.6. Closeup of a Mast Arm End for Four Bolt Slip Base for Luminaire	
Supports after Test 618911-01-3.	. 90
Figure 9.7. Front of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-3	. 90
Figure 9.8. Rear of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-3.	. 91
Figure 9.9. Overall Interior of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-3	. 91
Figure 9.10. Interior of Test Vehicle on Impact Side after Test 618911-01-3	. 92
Figure 9.11. Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-60 on Four Bolt Slip Base	
Support for Luminaire Poles	. 94
Figure C.2. Exterior Crush Measurements for Test 618911-01-1	130
Figure C.3. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test 618911-01-1	131
Figure C.5. Sequential Photographs for Test 618911-01-1 (Frontal Views)	132
Figure C.6. Sequential Photographs for Test 618911-01-1 (Perpendicular Views)	133
Figure C.7. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test 618911-01-1	135
Figure C.8. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-1	
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity)	137
Figure C.9. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-1	
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	138
Figure C.10. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-1	
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity)	139
Figure D.1. Vehicle Properties for Test 618911-01-2.	141
Figure D.2. Exterior Crush Measurements for Test 618911-01-2	142
Figure D.3. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test 618911-01-2	143
Figure D.5. Sequential Photographs for Test 618911-01-2 (Frontal Views)	144
Figure D.6. Sequential Photographs for Test 618911-01-2 (Perpendicular Views)	145
Figure D.7. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test 618911-01-2	147
Figure D.8. Venicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-2	4 4 0
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	149
Figure D.9. Venicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-2	450
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	150
Figure D.10. Venicle Venical Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-2	454
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	151
Figure D.2. Exterior Cruck Macourements for Test 618011-01-3.	152
Figure D.2. Exterior Grush Weasurements for Test 619011-01-3	100
Figure D.5. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test 610011-01-3	104
Figure D.S. Sequential Photographs for Test 619011-01-3 (FIOIItal Views)	100
Figure D.o. Sequential Photographs for Test 618911-01-3 (Perpendicular Views)	100
rigure D.7. venicle Angular Displacements for Test 618911-01-3	1 D Q

Figure D.8. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-3	
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity)	. 160
Figure D.9. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-3	
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	. 161
Figure D.10. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-3	
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	. 162

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 3.1. Colorado's Response Summary for Question 2	28
Table 3.2. New Mexico's Response Summary for Question 2	28
Table 3.3. Utah's Response Summary for Question 2	29
Table 3.4. State Responses for Question 7	40
Table 4.1. Concrete Strength.	57
Table 5.1. Test Conditions and Evaluation Criteria Specified for MASH Test 3-60	
Support Structures.	59
Table 5.2. Evaluation Criteria Required for MASH Testing.	60
Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-60, Crash Test 618911-01-1	65
Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-60, Crash Test 618911-01-1	65
Table 7.3. Weather Conditions 618911-01-1	67
Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 618911-01-1	68
Table 7.5. Events during Test 618911-01-1.	69
Table 7.6. Occupant Compartment Deformation 618911-01-1	72
Table 7.7. Exterior Vehicle Damage 618911-01-1.	72
Table 7.8. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 618911-01-1	73
Table 8.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-60, Crash Test 618911-01-2	75
Table 8.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-60, Crash Test 618911-01-2	75
Table 8.3. Weather Conditions 618911-01-2	77
Table 8.4. Vehicle Measurements 618911-01-2	78
Table 8.5. Events during Test 618911-01-2.	79
Table 8.6. Occupant Compartment Deformation 618911-01-2	82
Table 8.7. Exterior Vehicle Damage 618911-01-2.	82
Table 8.8. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 618911-01-2	83
Table 9.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-60, Crash Test 618911-01-3	85
Table 9.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-60, Crash Test 618911-01-3	85
Table 9.3. Weather Conditions 618911-01-3	87
Table 9.4. Vehicle Measurements 618911-01-3	88
Table 9.5. Events during Test 618911-01-3.	89
Table 9.6. Occupant Compartment Deformation 618911-01-3	92
Table 9.7. Exterior Vehicle Damage 618911-01-3.	92
Table 9.8. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 618911-01-3	93
Table 10.1. Assessment Summary for MASH Test 3-60 Tests on Four Bolt Slip	
Base Support for Luminaire Poles	95

SI* (MODERN METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS				
	APPROXIMA	TE CONVERSIO	NS TO SI UNITS	
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol
-	·	LENGTH		
in	inches	25.4	millimeters	mm
ft	feet	0.305	meters	m
yd	yards	0.914	meters	m
mi	miles	1.61	kilometers	km
		AREA		2
in ²	square inches	645.2	square millimeters	mm²
ft ²	square feet	0.093	square meters	m²
yd²	square yards	0.836	square meters	m²
ac	acres	0.405	nectares	ha km²
mi ²	square miles	2.59	square kilometers	Km²
floz	fluid ounces		milliliters	ml
	allons	29.57	liters	1
ft ³	cubic feet	0.028	cubic meters	∟ m ³
vd ³	cubic vards	0.765	cubic meters	m ³
۶a	NOTE: volumes of	reater than 1000L	shall be shown in m ³	
		MASS		
oz	ounces	28.35	grams	a
lb	pounds	0.454	kilograms	kg
Т	short tons (2000 lb)	0.907	megagrams (or metric ton")	Mg (or "t")
	TEMPE	RATURE (exac	t degrees)	• • •
°F	Fahrenheit	5(F-32)/9	Celsius	°C
		or (F-32)/1.8		
	FORCE a	and PRESSURE	or STRESS	
lbf	poundforce	4.45	newtons	N
lbf/in ²	poundforce per square inch	6.89	kilopascals	kPa
	APPROXIMATI	E CONVERSION	S FROM SI UNITS	
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol
		LENGTH		
mm	millimeters	0.039	inches	in
m	meters	3.28	feet	ft
m	meters	1.09	yards	yd
km	kilometers	0.621	miles	mi
2		AREA		• 2
mm ²	square millimeters	0.0016	square inches	IN ²
m^2	square meters	10.764	square verde	II ²
ha	square meters	1.195	square yards	yu-
km ²	Square kilometers	0 386	square miles	ac mi ²
ml	milliliters	0.034	fluid ounces	07
L	liters	0.264	gallons	gal
m ³	cubic meters	35.314	cubic feet	ft ³
m ³	cubic meters	1.307	cubic yards	yd ³
		MASS		
g	grams	0.035	ounces	oz
kg	kilograms	2.202	pounds	lb
Mg (or "t")	megagrams (or "metric ton")	1.103	short tons (2000lb)	Т
	ТЕМРЕ	RATURE (exac	t degrees)	
°C	Celsius	1.8C+32	Fahrenheit	°F
	FORCE a	and PRESSURE	or STRESS	
N	newtons	0.225	poundforce	lbf
L/Do	kilopascals	0.145	poundforce per square inch	lb/in ²

*SI is the symbol for the International System of Units

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

For your convenience, this table includes the following list of abbreviations that are used within the content of this report.

AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and
	Transportation Officials

- CIP Critical Impact Point
- DOT Department of Transportation
- KDOT Kansas Department of Transportation
- MASH Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware
- MGS Midwest Guardrail System
- MwRSF Midwest Roadside Safety Facility
- NCHRP National Cooperative Highway Research Program
- NARD Numerical Analysis of Roadside Design
- RDG Roadside Design Guide
- TxDOT Texas Department of Transportation

Chapter 1. INTRODUCTION

Luminaire poles are a vital aspect of our transportation system. Much work in recent years has been undertaken to evaluate luminaire poles to the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH)* evaluation criteria. Of this previous effort, most projects evaluated frangible transformer base supports; there was minimal research evaluating slip base connections for luminaire pole supports. Consequently, the Roadside Safety Pooled Fund prioritized an evaluation of common designs for luminaire pole slip base supports.

The primary objective of this study was to evaluate critical configurations of a non-proprietary four-bolt slip base luminaire support for MASH crashworthiness. This effort started with a literature review and a state survey, which was aimed at identifying commonly used designs of luminaire pole slip bases. With the results of the literature review and state survey, the research team selected a configuration for full-scale crash testing. The research team subsequently evaluated the luminaire pole and slip base supports with *MASH* crash testing. This report details the tasks performed by the research team.

Chapter 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. OVERVIEW

This chapter documents the literature review performed in Phase 1 of this project. The research team reviewed relevant research regarding luminaire pole configurations.

2.2. FULL-SCALE 1,800 LB. VEHICLE CRASH TESTS ON A 4-BOLT BREAKAWAY SLIP BASE DESIGN (TRP-03-25-91) (*3*)

The objective of the research conducted in this report was to evaluate the safety performance of the 4-bolt breakaway slip base luminaire support for Federal-air projects. Two full-scale crash tests were conducted under National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) *Report 230* (2). The luminaire support had three major components: the luminaire support pole, two mast arms, and the permanent lower slip base assembly, the details for which are shown below in Figure 2.1 and Figure 2.2. The maximum height from the ground to top of the mast arms was a 52-ft. The height from the ground to the top of the luminaire pole was 50-ft 4-in. The two steel mast arms were attached to the luminaire 10-in below the top of the luminaire support pole and extended 15-ft outward from the pole.

The first crash test involved a 1,750 lb 1984 Dodge Colt, impacting the luminaire support at the center point of the bumper at a speed of 15-mi/h. The front bumper of the vehicle crushed inward upon impact, as the base started to slip. The support hit the roof of the car 2.33 seconds after impact. The vehicle change in speed was calculated using a combination of film and accelerometer data. The occupant impact velocity was determined to be 7.6 ft/s, the maximum ridedown deceleration of 3.5 g's, and the vehicle change in speed was 6.1 ft/s. All were well below the requirements set forth by the guidelines listed in the previous paragraph. The damage to the vehicle was minimal, with only a 9 in. crushing distance in the bumper and slight damage to the roof. The vehicle and assembly damage are shown below in Figure 2.3.



- 1)
- KEEPER PLATE CONFORMS TO ASTM DESIGNATION: A446 GRADE A. COATING DESIGNATION: G90. ALL THREADED FASTEMERS TO BE GALVANIZED UNLESS
- 2) OTHERWISE NOTED.
- POLE AND ARM TO BE GALVANIZED TO ASTM DESIGNATION: A123. 3)
- ACCESSORIES TO BE GALVANIZED TO ASTM DESIGNATION: ALSI. 4)





Figure 2.2. Details for Direct 4-bolt Slip base Luminaire Support (3).



Figure 2.3. Post-Test images of Vehicle, Luminaire, and Slip base (3)

The second test was conducted using a repaired vehicle from the previous test. The center of the bumper impacted the luminaire support at a speed of 57.5 mi/h. The front of the bumper crushed upon impact as the base began to slip. The front of the vehicle began to lift up and continued on its rear wheels for 1 second after impact. The occupant impact velocity was determined to be 14.2 ft/s, the ridedown deceleration was 1.0 g's, and the change in speed was 13.5 ft/s. All were well below the requirements set forth by the guidelines listed previously. The only damage done to the vehicle was a maximum crushing distance of 12 in. on the bumper. The vehicle damage is shown below in Figure 2.4.

According to the results gathered from the two tests conducted in the report, the 4-bolt breakaway slip base design was considered acceptable according to NCHRP *Report 230 (2)*.



Figure 2.4. Post-Test Images of Vehicle

2.3. FULL-SCALE VEHICLE CRASH TESTS OF LUMINAIRE SUPPORTS (REPORT# 386-005) (4)

This effort involved a large series of crash tests evaluating a number of luminaire support configurations. In the ninth test, a 1963 Chevrolet impacted the luminaire support at a speed of 40.2 mi/h. The slip base on this pole became disengaged quickly, and the pole rotated upward. This allowed the vehicle to pass under it with relatively small change in speed. The change in momentum was determined to be 405 lb-sec from the high-speed film, and 425 lb-sec from the accelerometer. The assembly and vehicle damage are shown below in Figure 2.5.



Figure 2.5. Post-Impact Images of the Vehicle and Assembly (Ninth Test) (4)

2.4. CRASH TESTING OF FLASHING BEACON SUPPORTS

The objective of the research conducted in this report was to evaluate the crashworthiness of warning sign supports with flashing beacons. The impacts involve test vehicles with masses of 1808 lbs and speeds of at 22 mi/h and 62 mi/h. The signs and beacons are mounted on a cut-down Type 15 light standard pole without the arm flange on a Type 30/31 slip base shown in Figure 2.6.

The first test conducted on the assembly had an impact angle and speed of 0 degrees and 23.7 mi/h. The impact point was 5.0 in. to the right of the pole's center. Upon impact, the vehicle began to deform as it came in contact with the assembly, and the slip base engaged as anticipated. The sign assembly rotated throughout the course of the impact until the top impacted the ground behind the vehicle. The entire front of the vehicle was moderately damaged from the initial impact. The front windshield was damaged along the roofline but was not penetrated. The roof had the largest deformation centered at the top of the windshield, approximately 3.5 in. It was determined that no significant occupant risk would have occurred. The occupant impact velocity was determined to be 16.4 ft/s and the maximum ridedown acceleration was determined to be 2.04 g's.



Figure 2.6. Pre-Test Assembly of the Warning Sign with Flashing Beacons (5)



Figure 2.7. Post-Test Image of Vehicle Damage (First Test) (5)

The second test conducted on the assembly had an impact angle of 0 degrees and location of 4.3 in. to the right of center of the pole at a speed of 63.8 mi/h. Upon impact, the vehicle began to deform as it came in contact with the assembly, and the slip base activated. The sign rotated and cleared the rest of the vehicle. The hood, bumper, headlamp area, grille, front fenders, and suspension components were damaged in the impact. The occupant impact velocity was determined to be 11.4 ft/s and the maximum ridedown acceleration was 4.40 g's.


Figure 2.8. Post-Test Image of Vehicle Damage (Second Test) (5)

2.5. LITERATURE REVIEW SUMMARY

The literature review resulted in previous research regarding luminaire pole supports. Much of the previous research evaluated transformer bases. This echoed the need for further research evaluating luminaire pole slip base supports to MASH criteria.

Chapter 3. STATE SURVEY

3.1. OVERVIEW

This survey was designed to gather information regarding luminaire pole assembly details found across the country in order to help develop a design concept for a multidirectional breakaway mechanism. The survey was administered online using Qualtrics and was sent to roadside safety pooled fund members. The survey received 19 total responses. Of the 19 responses, only 3 were used for assistance throughout the project.

3.2. SURVEY QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES

Q1: Does your state use a 4-bolt slip base for luminaire poles similar to what is shown below?



Figure 3.1. Question 1 Example Image





Q2 – The table presented on the next page will inquire about multiple luminaire components for different pole configurations. Each configuration represents one combination of pole height, mast arm length, etc. that your state may use. Please provide details on all configurations your state uses. Further explanation is provided below:





Mast Material: Materials such as Steel, Aluminum, both, or others used for mast construction.

Figure 3.3. Illustrative Assistance for Question 2

Results are summarized in Table 3.1 through Table 3.3. Each state's responses are formatted in a table similar to what was asked to fill out in the question. States were

then asked to attach drawings for applicable pole configurations which are shown in Q2b.

Configuration #	Mast Configuration	Pole Height (ft)	Mast Length (ft)	Pole Material	Mast Material
Configuration 1	Single	20' - 40'	6' - 10'	Steel or Aluminum	Steel or Aluminum
Configuration 2	Single	41' - 70'	6' - 10'	Round Tapered Galvanized Steel Pole	Steel
Configuration 3	Double	20' - 40'	6' - 10'	Steel or Aluminum	Steel or Aluminum

 Table 3.1. Colorado's Response Summary for Question 2

 Table 3.2. New Mexico's Response Summary for Question 2

Configuration #	Mast Configuration	Pole Height (ft)	Mast Length (ft)	Pole Material	Mast Material
Configuration 1	Single	24'	10'	Aluminum	Aluminum
Configuration 2	Single	24'	10'	Steel	Steel
Configuration 3	Single	34'	10'	Aluminum	Aluminum
Configuration 4	Single	34'	10'	Steel	Steel
Configuration 5	Single	44'	10'	Aluminum	Aluminum
Configuration 6	Double	24'	10'	Aluminum	Aluminum
Configuration 7	Double	24'	10'	Steel	Steel
Configuration 8	Double	34'	10'	Aluminum	Aluminum
Configuration 9	Double	34'	10'	Steel	Steel
Configuration 10	Double	44'	10'	Aluminum	Aluminum

Configuration #	Mast Configuration	Pole Height (ft)	Mast Length (ft)	Pole Material	Mast Material
Configuration 1	Single	24'-9"	10'	Steel	Steel
Configuration 2	Single	34'-9"	10'	Steel	Steel
Configuration 3	Single	24'-9"	15'	Steel	Steel
Configuration 4	Single	34'-9"	15'	Steel	Steel
Configuration 5	Double	24'-9"	10'	Steel	Steel
Configuration 6	Double	34'-9"	10'	Steel	Steel
Configuration 7	Double	24'-9"	15'	Steel	Steel
Configuration 8	Double	34'-9"	15'	Steel	Steel

 Table 3.3. Utah's Response Summary for Question 2



Q2b – Please attach a link to or upload a standard detail sheet, or drawing.

Figure 3.4. Colorado's Response for Question 2b.



Figure 3.5. New Mexico's Response for Question 2b (1/5).



Figure 3.6. New Mexico's for Question 2b (2/5).



Figure 3.7. New Mexico's Response for Question 2b (3/5).



Figure 3.8. New Mexico's Response for Question 2b (4/5)



Figure 3.9. New Mexico's Response for Question 2b (5/5)



Figure 3.10. Utah's Response for Question 2b (1/4).



Figure 3.11. Utah's Response for Question 2b (2/4).



Figure 3.12. Utah's Response for Question 2b (3/4).



Figure 3.13. Utah's Response for Question 2b (4/4).

Q3 – Do you utilize any other hardware on luminaire poles?

State	Response
Colorado	"Yes, we have different lighting standard for parking lot and decorative lighting."
Utah	"Attachments can include radar detection with pole mounted cabinets."

Table 3.4.	State	Resp	onses	for	Question	7.
------------	-------	------	-------	-----	----------	----

Q3b – Please upload drawing of the hardware.





	LUMINAIRE TYPE ((DUTRDARD)	B' MAST AR	
C IVERIE AD	CUBRA HEAD STYL LUMINAIRE INSTAL	.E LED LED25'-0"	4'-0"
2" WEATHER HEAD	LEVEL AND PLUME FOUNDATION.	ТО	
		12" x 2" 0.0. ARM	
		COBRA HEAD STYLE LED	· •
		AND PLUMB TO FOUNDATION.	5
24'-0" (MIN.) 30'-0" (MIN.)			TIS DEVICES (OPTIONAL) REFER TO ITS PLANS
2" GRC RISER CONDUIT		· ∗ ·	*
			LIGHT STANDARD STEEL
2-HULE 2" CUNDULT STRAPS 5'-0" DN CENTER (TVP)			30'-0"
			1
6'-0" (MIN)		- 3'-O" (MIN.) BEHIND GU/	RDRAIL
OR B.O.C.		DR 4'-0" (N FROM E.D.P	IN.)
12" (MIN.)			
30" (MIN.) DR 10% DF THE POLE HEIGHT		B ø,	
PLUS 2'-0", WHICHEVER IS GREATER, EMBEDDED IN GROUND			
			PER STRUCTURAL FINGINEER
TEMPORARY LIGHTING NOTES		GUARD RAIL -	
1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE INSTALLATION, MAINTENANCE, AND REMOVAL OF ALL TEMPORARY LIGHTING EQUIPMENT, LUMINAIRES	, CONDUIT, AND		
POWER SOURCES.			
 TEMPURART LIGHT STANDARD SHALL BE PROTECTED. PROTECTION SHALL MEET THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ARSHID RUNDWAT DE SPEED LIGHT LESS THEN 40MPH: – LIGHT DE SEET (ADJUNIA) FORM THE FORMET FACE OF CHIPP. 	SIGN GOIDE.	ATTUN LIGHTING NUTES	
- MOUNTED ON BARRIER. - IDCATED DRIMARTIER. - IDCATED DRIMIN BARRIER DR APPROPRIATE IMPACT ATTENUATOR	CENTERED OF	VER TRUCK PARKING LANE BELOW AND SPACED A MINIMUM OF 120 FEET APA BY STRIPTING AND VERTETED BY FIELD FORDERED	RT. PARKING LANE SHALL BE
SPEED LIMITS OF 40MPH OR GREATER: - MOUNTED ON BARRIER.	2. LIGHT STAN	DARD SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 4'-O" BEHIND EDGE OF PAVEMENT WHEN INSTA	LLED ON A BREAKAWAY BASE
- LOCATED BEHIND BARRIER.	AND NOT INS	STALLED BEHIND GUARDRAIL.	
3. TEMPORARY LIGHTING DESIGN SHALL PROVIDE LIGHTING LEVELS EQUAL TO DR EXCEEDING THE EXISTING LIGHTING LEVELS AND Q	UANTITY.		
4. EXISTING LUMINAIRES WHICH ARE BEING REMOVED MAY BE USED FOR TEMPORARY LIGHTING.			
5. THE TEMPURARY LIGHT STANDARDS AND LOWINAIRES SHOULD BE LUCATED ALONG TRAFFIC DETUDE ROUTES WITH THE LOWINAIRES THE EDGE OF THE TRAVEL LANE.	PUSITIONED OVER		RIENTED
6. OVERHEAD ELECTRICAL CONDUCTORS SUPPLYING POWER TO THE LUMINAIRES SHALL MAINTAIN 24 FEET (MINIMUM) CLEARANCE DVER TEMPLATE AND 20 FEET (MINIMUM) DUTSIDE THE ROADWAY TEMPLATE, DVERHEAD ELECTRICAL SHALL NOT BE MOUNTED ON BREAKAN	THE ROADWAY AY POLES.		
7. THE POWER FOR TEMPORARY LIGHTING SHALL BE METERED. ALL UTILITY BILLS FOR TEMPORARY LIGHTING SHALL BE PAID FOR BY	THE CONTRACTOR.		
8. TEMPORARY LIGHTING SYSTEM SHALL BE PAID FOR ON A LUMP SUM BASIS WHICH INCLUDES THE LUMINAIRE, ARM, LIGHT STANDARD	AND ALL	PROVIDE LUMINAIRE WITH HORIZONTAL SLIP FITTER FOR USE WITH 2 INCH	ES DUTER DIAMETER PIPE TENDN.
NECESSARY ELECTRICAL FOR A COMPLETE AND OPERATIONAL LIGHTING SYSTEM.	*	E LUMINAIRE OPTICS SHALL BE AIMED TOWARDS TRUCK.	
LIGHT STANDARD TIMBER (TEMPORARY)		LIGHT STANDARD METAL (30-FOOT) (2	ARM) (SPEC)
Computer File Information Sheet Revisions			STANDARD PLAN NO
Creation Date: 05/01/2020 Date: Comments Color	2829 W. Howard Pl.	ALIEKNAIIVE	S-613-2
Last Modification Date:	Denver, CD 80204 Phone: 303-757-9654	ROADWAY LIGHTING	Sheet No. 2 of 4
Lost Modified CLANTON AND ASSOCIATION INC.	ic & Safety Engineering MKB	Issued By: Traffic & Safety Engineering Branch July 31, 2019	Project Sheet Number:

Figure 3.15. Colorado's Response for Question 3b (2/4).



Figure 3.16. Colorado's Response for Question 3b (3/4).



Figure 3.17. Colorado's Response for Question 3b (4/4).



Figure 3.18. Utah's Response for Question 3b.

Chapter 4. SYSTEM DETAILS

4.1. TEST ARTICLE AND INSTALLATION DETAILS

Based on the literature review, the research team selected the test article design utilized for full-scale testing. The tests began with the heaviest pole, mast and luminaire combination available. The results of the testing caused the subsequent tests to be performed with lighter designs.

For test 618911-01-1, a luminaire pole 34 feet and 9 inches tall was used, with two luminaire arms that were 15 feet long, each with a luminaire at the end. The pole with the arms was connected to the base with four hex bolts. The assembly weighed approximately 730 pounds.

Figure 4.1 presents the overall information on the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles, and Figure 4.2 thru Figure 4.5 provide photographs of the installation.

For test 618911-01-2, a luminaire pole 24 feet and 10 inches tall was used . with two luminaire arms that were 15 feet long, each with have a luminaire at the end. The total height was approximately 30 feet. The pole with the arms was connected to the base with four hex bolts. The assembly weighed approximately 650 pounds.

Figure 4.6 presents the overall information on the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles, and Figure 4.7 thru Figure 4.10 provide photographs of the installation.

For test 618911-01-3, a Utah luminaire pole that was 34 feet and 9 inches tall was used, with one luminaire arm that was 15 feet long, with a luminaire at the end. The total height was approximately 40 feet. The arm was connected to the pole with four hex bolts. The assembly weighed approximately 560 pounds.

Figure 4.11 presents the overall information on the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles, and Figure 4.12 thru Figure 4.15 provide photographs of the installation.

Appendix A provides further details on the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles. Drawings were provided by the Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI) Proving Ground, and construction was performed by Bayer Electric and TTI Proving Ground personnel.

4.2. DESIGN MODIFICATIONS DURING TESTS

No modifications were made to the installation during the testing phase.



Figure 4.1. Details for Test 618911-01-1 of Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles.



Figure 4.2. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles Prior to Testing 618911-01-1.



Figure 4.3. Closeup of the Base for the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles Prior to Testing 618911-01-1.



Figure 4.4. Luminaire Arms of Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles Prior to Testing 618911-01-1.



Figure 4.5. Base and Footer of the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles Prior to Testing 618911-01-1.



Figure 4.6. Details for Test 618911-01-2 of Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles.



Figure 4.7. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles Prior to Testing 618911-01-2.



Figure 4.8. Base and Footer of the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles Prior to Testing 618911-01-2.



Figure 4.9. Closeup of the Base for the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles Prior to Testing 618911-01-2.



Figure 4.10. Non-Impact Side of Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles Prior to Testing 618911-01-2.



Figure 4.11. Details for Test 618911-01-3 of Four Bolt Slip Base for Luminaire Supports



Figure 4.12. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles Prior to Testing 618911-01-3.



Figure 4.13. Base and Footer of the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles Prior to Testing 618911-01-1.



Figure 4.14. Closeup of the Base for the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles Prior to Testing 618911-01-1.



Figure 4.15. Luminaire Arm on the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles Prior to Testing 618911-01-1.

4.3. MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS

Appendix B provides material certification documents for the materials used to install/construct the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles. Table 4.1 shows the average compressive strengths of the concrete on the day of the first test, 2024-02-09.

Location	Design Strength	Avg. Strength	Age	Detailed Location
Footer	4000 psi	4257 psi	27 days	100% of Luminaire Footers

Table 4.	1. Conc	rete S	trength.
----------	---------	--------	----------

Chapter 5. TEST REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

5.1. CRASH TEST PERFORMED/MATRIX

Table 5.1 shows the test conditions and evaluation criteria for *MASH* Test 3-60 for Support Structures. The target critical impact points (CIPs) for each test were selected to maximize interaction of the pole with the vehicle's roof, windshield, and back window. Tests 618911-01-1 and 618911-01-2 aligned the centerline of the vehicle with the centerline of the pole because of the symmetry of the test article. Test 618911-01-3 offset the centerline of the vehicle from the centerline of the pole to increase the likelihood of the asymmetric test article to roll across the roof of the vehicle. Figure 5.1 through Figure 5.3 show the target CIP for the *MASH* Test 3-60 tests on the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles.

Table 5.1. Test Conditions and Evaluation Criteria Specified for MASH Test 3-60 Support Structures.

Test Designation	Test Vehicle	Impact Speed	Impact Angle	Evaluation Criteria
3-60	1100C	19 mi/h	0°	B, D, F, H, I, N



Figure 5.1. Target CIP for TEST 618911-01-1



Figure 5.2. Target CIP for TEST 618911-01-2


Figure 5.3. Target CIP for TEST 618911-01-3

The crash tests and data analysis procedures were in accordance with guidelines presented in *MASH*. Chapter 4 presents brief descriptions of these procedures.

5.2. EVALUATION CRITERIA

The appropriate safety evaluation criteria from Tables 2-5 and 5-1 of *MASH* were used to evaluate the crash tests reported herein. Table 5.1 lists the test conditions and evaluation criteria required for *MASH* Test 3-60, and Table 5.2 provides detailed information on the evaluation criteria.

Evaluation Factors	Evaluation Criteria
В.	The test article should readily activate in a predictable manner by breaking away, fracturing, or yielding.
D.	Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.2.2 and Appendix E of <i>MASH</i> .
F.	The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.
H.	Occupant impact velocities (OIV) should satisfy the following limits: Preferred value of 10 ft/s, or maximum allowable value of 16 ft/s.
Ι.	The occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Preferred value of 15.0 g, or maximum allowable value of 20.49 g.
N.	Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.

Table 5.2. Evaluation Criteria Required for MASH Testing.

Chapter 6. TEST CONDITIONS

6.1. TEST FACILITY

The full-scale crash tests reported herein were performed at the TTI Proving Ground, an International Standards Organization (ISO)/International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) 17025-accredited laboratory with American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) Mechanical Testing Certificate 2821.01. The full-scale crash tests were performed according to TTI Proving Ground quality procedures, as well as *MASH* guidelines and standards.

The test facilities of the TTI Proving Ground are located on The Texas A&M University System RELLIS Campus, which consists of a 2000-acre complex of research and training facilities situated 10 mi northwest of the flagship campus of Texas A&M University. The site, formerly a United States Army Air Corps base, has large expanses of concrete runways and parking aprons well suited for experimental research and testing in the areas of vehicle performance and handling, vehicle-roadway interaction, highway pavement durability and efficacy, and roadside safety hardware and perimeter protective device evaluation. The site selected for construction and testing are along an out-of-service apron/runway. The aprons consist of an unreinforced jointed-concrete pavement in 12.5-ft × 15-ft blocks nominally 6 inches deep. The aprons were built in 1942, and the joints have some displacement but are otherwise flat and level.

6.2. VEHICLE TOW AND GUIDANCE SYSTEM

For the testing utilizing the 1100C vehicle, each was towed into the test installation using a steel cable guidance and reverse tow system. A steel cable for guiding the test vehicle was tensioned along the path, anchored at each end, and threaded through an attachment to the front wheel of the test vehicle. An additional steel cable was connected to the test vehicle, passed around a pulley near the impact point and through a pulley on the tow vehicle, and then anchored to the ground such that the tow vehicle moved away from the test site. A 2:1 speed ratio between the test and tow vehicle existed with this system. Just prior to impact with the installation, the test vehicle was released and ran unrestrained. The vehicle remained freewheeling (i.e., no steering or braking inputs) until it cleared the immediate area of the test site.

6.3. DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEMS

6.3.1. Vehicle Instrumentation and Data Processing

Each test vehicle was instrumented with a self-contained onboard data acquisition system. The signal conditioning and acquisition system is a multi-channel data acquisition system (DAS) produced by Diversified Technical Systems Inc. The accelerometers, which measure the x, y, and z axis of vehicle acceleration, are strain gauge type with linear millivolt output proportional to acceleration. Angular rate sensors, measuring vehicle roll, pitch, and yaw rates, are ultra-small, solid-state units designed for crash test service. The data acquisition hardware and software conform to the *MASH* recommended version of SAE J211, Instrumentation for Impact Test. Each of the channels is capable of providing precision amplification, scaling, and filtering based on transducer specifications and calibrations. During the test, data are recorded from each channel at a rate of 10,000 samples per second with a resolution of one part in 65,536. Once data are recorded, internal batteries back these up inside the unit in case the primary battery cable is severed. Initial contact of the pressure switch on the vehicle bumper provides a time zero mark and initiates the recording process. After each test, the data are downloaded from the DAS unit into a laptop computer at the test site. The Test Risk Assessment Program (TRAP) software then processes the raw data to produce detailed reports of the test results.

Each DAS is returned to the factory annually for complete recalibration and to ensure that all instrumentation used in the vehicle conforms to the specifications outlined by SAE J211. All accelerometers are calibrated annually by means of an ENDEVCO[®] 2901 precision primary vibration standard. This standard and its support instruments are checked annually and receive a National Institute of Standards Technology (NIST) traceable calibration. The rate transducers used in the data acquisition system receive calibration via a Genisco Rate-of-Turn table. The subsystems of each data channel are also evaluated annually, using instruments with current NIST traceability, and the results are factored into the accuracy of the total data channel per SAE J211. Calibrations and evaluations are also made anytime data are suspect. Acceleration data are measured with an expanded uncertainty of ± 1.7 percent at a confidence factor of 95 percent (k = 2).

TRAP uses the DAS-captured data to compute the occupant to vehicle contact impact velocities, time of occupant to vehicle contact after vehicle impact, and highest 10-millisecond (ms) average ridedown acceleration. TRAP calculates change in vehicle velocity at the end of a given impulse period. In addition, maximum average accelerations over 50-ms intervals in each of the three directions are computed. For reporting purposes, the data from the vehicle-mounted accelerometers are filtered with an SAE Class 180-Hz low-pass digital filter, and acceleration versus time curves for the longitudinal, lateral, and vertical directions are plotted using TRAP.

TRAP uses the data from the yaw, pitch, and roll rate transducers to compute angular displacement in degrees at 0.0001-s intervals, and then plots yaw, pitch, and roll versus time. These displacements are in reference to the vehicle-fixed coordinate system with the initial position and orientation being initial impact. Rate of rotation data is measured with an expanded uncertainty of ± 0.7 percent at a confidence factor of 95 percent (k = 2).

6.3.2. Anthropomorphic Dummy Instrumentation

An Alderson Research Laboratories Hybrid II, 50th percentile male anthropomorphic dummy, restrained with lap and shoulder belts, was placed in the front seat on the passenger's side of the 1100C vehicle for tests 618911-01-1&2, and it was placed in the front seat on the driver's side for test 618911-01-3. The dummy was not instrumented.

6.3.3. Photographic Instrumentation Data Processing

Photographic coverage of each test included two digital high-speed cameras:

- One placed with a field of view perpendicular to the impact path and in-line with the point of impact
- One placed downstream from the impact point at an oblique angle to the impact path

A flashbulb on the impacting vehicle was activated by a pressure-sensitive tape switch to indicate the instant of contact with the test article. The flashbulb was visible from each camera. The video files from these digital high-speed cameras were analyzed to observe phenomena occurring during the collision and to obtain time-event, displacement, and angular data. A digital camera recorded and documented conditions of each test vehicle and the installation before and after the test.

Chapter 7. MASH TEST 3-60 (CRASH TEST 618911-01-1)

7.1. TEST DESIGNATION AND ACTUAL IMPACT CONDITIONS

See Table 7.1 for details of *MASH* impact conditions for this test and Table 7.2 for the exit parameters. Figure 7.1 and Figure 7.2 depict the target impact setup.

Test Parameter	Specification	Tolerance	Measured
Impact Speed	19 mi/h	±2.5 mi/h	19.1 mi/h
Impact Angle	0°	±1.5°	0°
Kinetic Energy	34 kip-ft	≤34 kip-ft	29.8 kip-ft
Impact Location	Centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the luminaire pole	±6 inches	Centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the luminaire pole

Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-60, Crash Test 618911-01-1.

Table 7.2.	Exit Parameters	for MASH	Test 3-60. C	rash Test (618911-01-1
				nasii icsi	

Exit Parameter	Measured
Speed	12.2 mi/h
Brakes applied post impact	Brakes not applied
Vehicle at rest position	92 ft downstream of impact point 7 ft to the right side Vehicle positioned 5° right relative to the installation
Comments:	Vehicle remained upright and stable



Figure 7.1. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles/Test Vehicle Geometrics for Test 618911-01-1.



Figure 7.2. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles/Test Vehicle Impact Location 618911-01-1.

7.2. WEATHER CONDITIONS

Table 7.3 provides the weather conditions for 618911-01-1.

Date of Test	2024-02-09
Wind Speed	9 mi/h
Wind Direction	168°
Temperature	68°F
Relative Humidity	92%
Vehicle Traveling	170°

Table 7.3. Weather Conditions 618911-01-1.

7.3. TEST VEHICLE

Figure 7.3 and Figure 7.4 show the 2018 Nissan Versa used for the crash test. Table 7.4 shows the vehicle measurements. Figure C.1 in Appendix C.1 gives additional dimensions and information on the vehicle.



Figure 7.3. Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 618911-01-1.



Figure 7.4. Opposite Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 618911-01-1.

Test Parameter	Specification	Tolerance	Measured
Dummy Mass (if applicable) ^a	165 lb	N/A	165 lb
Inertial Mass	2420 lb	±55 lb	2443 lb
Gross Static ^a Mass	2585 lb	±55 lb	2608 lb
Wheelbase	98 inches	±5 inches	102.4 inches
Front Overhang	35 inches	±4 inches	32.5 inches
Overall Length	169 inches	±8 inches	175.4 inches
Overall Width	65 inches	±3 inches	66.7 inches
Hood Height	28 inches	±4 inches	30.5 inches
Track Width ^b	59 inches	±2 inches	58.4 inches
CG aft of Front Axle ^c	39 inches	±4 inches	41.3 inches
CG above Ground ^{c,d}	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 7.4. Vehicle	Measurements for	Test 618911-01-1.
--------------------	-------------------------	-------------------

Note: N/A = not applicable; CG = center of gravity. ^a If a dummy is used, the gross static vehicle mass should be increased by the mass of the dummy.

^b Average of front and rear axles.
 ^c For test inertial mass.

^d 2270P vehicle must meet minimum CG height requirement.

7.4. TEST DESCRIPTION

Table 7.5 lists events that occurred during Test 618911-01-1. Figures C.4, C.5, and C.6 in Appendix C.2 present sequential photographs during the test.

Time	Events
0.0000 s	Vehicle impacted the installation
0.0470 s	Slip base began to move
0.0530 s	Slip base released from base
1.7530 s	Pole impacted roof of vehicle

Table 7.5. Events during Test 618911-01-1.

7.5. DAMAGE TO TEST INSTALLATION

The lights released from the support arms, and the base of the pole came to rest on the trunk of the vehicle. Figure 7.5 and Figure 7.6 show the test article post impact.



Figure 7.5. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles at Impact Location after Test 618911-01-1.



Figure 7.6. Luminaire Arms and Pole after Test 618911-01-1.

7.6. DAMAGE TO TEST VEHICLE

Figure 7.7 and Figure 7.8 show the damage sustained by the vehicle. Figure 7.9 and Figure 7.10 show the interior of the test vehicle. Table 7.6 and Table 7.7 provide details on the occupant compartment deformation and exterior vehicle damage. Figures C.2 and C.3 in Appendix C.1 provide exterior crush and occupant compartment measurements.



Figure 7.7. Impact Side of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-1.



Figure 7.8. Rear Impact Side of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-1.



Figure 7.9. Overall Interior of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-1.



Figure 7.10. Interior of Test Vehicle on Impact Side after Test 618911-01-1.

Test Parameter	Specification	Measured
Roof	≤4.0 inches	6.3 inches
Windshield	≤3.0 inches	0 inches
A and B Pillars	≤5.0 overall/≤3.0 lateral inches	0 inches
Foot Well/Toe Pan	≤9.0 inches	0 inches
Floor Pan/Transmission Tunnel	≤12.0 inches	0 inches
Side Front Panel	≤12.0 inches	0 inches
Front Door (above Seat)	≤9.0 inches	0 inches
Front Door (below Seat)	≤12.0 inches	0 inches

Table 7.6. Occupant Compartment Deformation 618911-01-1.

Table 7.7. Exterior Vehicle Damage 618911-01-1.

Side Windows	Side windows remained intact		
Maximum Exterior Deformation	12 inches at the front bumper		
VDS	12FC5		
CDC	12FCAW6		
Fuel Tank Damage	None		
Description of Damage to Vehicle:	The bumper, grill, hood, radiator, and roof were crushed. The front windshield was fractured, and the rear window glass was shattered. A section of the carpet inside the occupant compartment was ripped near the rear window. There was a small dent in the sheet metal under the rear windshield. There was a dent on the trunk lid and a cracked rear spoiler.		

7.7. OCCUPANT RISK FACTORS

Data from the accelerometers were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk, and the results are shown in Table 7.8. Figure C.7 in Appendix C.3 shows the vehicle angular displacements, and Figures C.8 through C.10 in Appendix C.4 show acceleration versus time traces.

Test Parameter	Specification ^a	Measured	Time
OIV, Longitudinal	≤16.0 ft/s	7.3 ft/s	0.3247 seconds on front of interior
	<i>10.0</i> ft/s		
OIV, Lateral	≤40.0 ft/s	0.6 ft/s	0.3247 seconds on front of interior
	<i>30.0</i> ft/s		
Ridedown, Longitudinal	≤20.49 g	1.3 g	1.4741 - 1.4841 seconds
	<i>15.0</i> g		
Ridedown, Lateral	≤20.49 g	0.8 g	1.8107 - 1.8207 seconds
	<i>15.0</i> g		
Theoretical Head Impact	N/A	2.2 m/s	0.3248 seconds on front of
Velocity (THIV)			interior
Acceleration Severity	N/A	0.3	0.0496 - 0.0996 seconds
Index			
50-ms Moving Avg.			
Accelerations (MA)	N/A	-3.8 g	0.0128 - 0.0628 seconds
Longitudinal			
50-ms MA Lateral	N/A	-0.7 g	0.1595 - 0.2095 seconds
50-ms MA Vertical	N/A	-2.8 g	0.1001 - 0.1501 seconds
Roll	≤75°	3.9°	4.9999 seconds
Pitch	≤75°	1°	1.7075 seconds
Yaw	N/A	0.8°	1.2330 seconds

Table 7.8. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 618911-01-1.

^{a.} Values in italics are the preferred MASH values

7.8. TEST SUMMARY

Due to the 6.3 inch dent in the roof and the penetration of the base of the luminaire pole through the back glass, this test failed to meet evaluation criteria D of *MASH* for support structures. Figure 7.11 summarizes the results of *MASH* Test 618911-01-1.









0.000 s	0.200 s	0.400 s		0.600 s
	GENERAL INFORMATION		EXIT CONDITIO	ONS
Test Agency:	Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)	Exit Speed:	12.2mi/h	
Test Standard/Test No.:	MASH 2016, Test 3-60	Stopping Distance:	92 ft downstream	
Project No.:	618911-01-1	Stopping Distance.	7 ft to the right side	
Test Date:	2024-02-09		VEHICLE DAMA	\GE
	TEST ARTICLE	VDS:	12FC5	
Туре:	Support Structures	CDC:	12FCAW6	
Name:	Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles	Max Exterior Deformation	n: 12 inches	
Length:	34 feet and 9 inches	Max Occupant Compartn	nent 6.3 inches in the roo	of
Key Materials:	Steel luminaire pole, two steel luminaire arms	Deformation:		
Soil Type and Condition:	Native soil, dry		OCCUPANT RISK V	ALUES
	TEST VEHICLE	Longitudinal OIV:	7.3 ft/s	
Type/Designation:	1100C	Lateral OIV:	0.6 ft/s	
Year, Make and Model:	2018 Nissan Versa	Longitudinal Ridedown:	1.3 g	
Inertial Mass:	2443 lb	Lateral Ridedown:	0.8 g	
Dummy Mass:	165 lb	THIV:	2.2 m/s	
Gross Static Mass:	2608 lb	ASI:	0.3	
	IMPACT CONDITIONS	Max 50ms Longitudinal:	-3.8 g	
Impact Speed / Impact	40.4	Max 50ms Lateral:	-0.7 g	
Angle	19.1 mi/n / 0°	Max 50ms Vertical:	-2.8 g	
lana and lana atlan	Centerline of vehicle aligned with centerline of luminaire	Max Roll:	3.9°	
Impact Location	pole	Max Pitch:	1.0°	
Kinetic Energy	29.8 kip-ft	Max Yaw:	0.8°	



Figure 7.11. Summary of Results for *MASH* Test 3-60 on Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles.

Chapter 8. MASH TEST 3-60 (CRASH TEST 618911-01-2)

8.1. TEST DESIGNATION AND ACTUAL IMPACT CONDITIONS

See Table 8.1 for details of *MASH* impact conditions for this test and Table 8.2 for the exit parameters. Figure 8.1 and Figure 8.2 depict the target impact setup.

Test Parameter	Specification	Tolerance	Measured
Impact Speed	19 mi/h	±2.5 mi/h	19.1 mi/h
Impact Angle	0°	±1.5°	0°
Kinetic Energy	34 kip-ft	≤34 kip-ft	29.7 kip-ft
Impact Location	Centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the luminaire pole	±6 inches	Centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the luminaire pole

Table 8.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-60, Crash Test 618911-01-2.

Table 8.2	. Exit Parameters	for	MASH Test 3-60	Crash	Test 618911-01-2.
		101		Ulasii	

Exit Parameter	Measured
Speed	11.7mi/h
Brakes applied post impact	1.9 seconds
Vehicle at rest position	52 ft downstream of impact point 3 ft to the right side Vehicle positioned 5° right relative to the installation
Comments:	Vehicle remained upright and stable



Figure 8.1. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles/Test Vehicle Geometrics for Test 618911-01-2.



Figure 8.2. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles/Test Vehicle Impact Location 618911-01-2.

8.2. WEATHER CONDITIONS

Table 8.3 provides the weather conditions for 618911-01-2.

Date of Test	2024-02-09
Wind Speed	5 mi/h
Wind Direction	188°
Temperature	75°F
Relative Humidity	89%
Vehicle Traveling	170°

Table 8.3	. Weather	Conditions	618911-01-2.
-----------	-----------	------------	--------------

8.3. TEST VEHICLE

Figure 8.3 and Figure 8.4 show the 2019 Nissan Versa used for the crash test. Table 8.4 shows the vehicle measurements. Figure D.1 in Appendix D.1 gives additional dimensions and information on the vehicle.



Figure 8.3. Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 618911-01-2.



Figure 8.4. Opposite Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 618911-01-2.

Test Parameter	Specification	Tolerance	Measured
Dummy Mass (if applicable) ^a	165 lb	N/A	165 lb
Inertial Mass	2420 lb	±55 lb	2435 lb
Gross Static ^a Mass	2420 lb	±55 lb	2600 lb
Wheelbase	98 inches	±5 inches	102.4 inches
Front Overhang	35 inches	±4 inches	32.5 inches
Overall Length	169 inches	±8 inches	175.4 inches
Overall Width	65 inches	±3 inches	66.7 inches
Hood Height	28 inches	±4 inches	30.5 inches
Track Width ^b	59 inches	±2 inches	58.4 inches
CG aft of Front Axle ^c	39 inches	±4 inches	41 inches
CG above Ground ^{c,d}	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 8.4. Vehicle Measurements 618911-01-2.

Note: N/A = not applicable; CG = center of gravity. ^a If a dummy is used, the gross static vehicle mass should be increased by the mass of the dummy.

^b Average of front and rear axles.
 ^c For test inertial mass.

^d 2270P vehicle must meet minimum CG height requirement.

8.4. TEST DESCRIPTION

Table 8.5 lists events that occurred during Test 618911-01-2. Figures D.4, D.5, and D.6 in Appendix D.2 present sequential photographs during the test.

Time (s)	Events
0.0000 s	Vehicle impacted the installation
0.0580 s	Slip base began to move
0.0630 s	Slip base released free of base
1.4883 s	Pole impacted roof of vehicle
1.7390 s	Back window shattered

Table 8.5. Events during Test 618911-01-2.

8.5. DAMAGE TO TEST INSTALLATION

The luminaires released from the arms and the base of the luminaire pole came to rest 31 feet downstream. Figure 8.5 and Figure 8.6 show the damage to the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles.



Figure 8.5. Test Article at Impact Location after Test 618911-01-2.



Figure 8.6. Mast Arms after Test 618911-01-2.

8.6. DAMAGE TO TEST VEHICLE

Figure 8.7 and Figure 8.8 show the damage sustained by the vehicle. Figure 8.9 show the interior of the test vehicle. Table 8.6 and Table 8.7 provide details on the occupant compartment deformation and exterior vehicle damage. Figures D.2 and D.3 in Appendix D.1 provide exterior crush and occupant compartment measurements.



Figure 8.7. Front of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-2.



Figure 8.8. Rear of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-2.



Figure 8.9. Interior of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-2.

Test Parameter	Specification	Measured
Roof	≤4.0 inches	1.8 inches
Windshield	≤3.0 inches	0 inches
A and B Pillars	≤5.0 overall/≤3.0 inches lateral	0 inches
Foot Well/Toe Pan	≤9.0 inches	0 inches
Floor Pan/Transmission Tunnel	≤12.0 inches	0 inches
Side Front Panel	≤12.0 inches	0 inches
Front Door (above Seat)	≤9.0 inches	0 inches
Front Door (below Seat)	≤12.0 inches	0 inches

 Table 8.6. Occupant Compartment Deformation 618911-01-2.

Table 8.7. Exterior Vehicle Damage 618911-01-2.

Side Windows	Side windows remained intact
Maximum Exterior Deformation	13 inches at the front bumper
VDS	12FC5
CDC	12FCHW6
Fuel Tank Damage	None
Description of Damage to Vehicle:	The hood, bumper, grill, radiator, and cross bar support were bent. There were two cracks at the top of the windshield, with a 33-inch-wide x 5-inch-long x 1.8-inch-deep dent in the roof. There was carpet ripped and two small dents inside the vehicle occupant compartment near where the rear window was shattered. There was a small dent on the trunk lid, and the rear spoiler was cracked.

8.7. OCCUPANT RISK FACTORS

Data from the accelerometers were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk, and the results are shown in Table 8.8. Figure D.7 in Appendix D.3 shows the vehicle angular displacements, and Figures D.8 through D.10 in Appendix D.4 show acceleration versus time traces.

Test Parameter	Specification ^a	Measured	Time
OIV, Longitudinal	≤16.0 ft/s	9.6 ft/s	0.2641 seconds on front of
	10.0 ft/s		Interior
OIV, Lateral	≤40.0 ft/s	0.3 ft/s	0.2641 seconds on front of
	<i>30.0</i> ft/s		interior
Ridedown, Longitudinal	≤20.49 g	1.1 g	1.5656 - 1.5756 seconds
	15.0 g	_	
Ridedown, Lateral	≤20.49 g	0.6 g	1.5610 - 1.5710 seconds
	<i>15.0</i> g		
Theoretical Head Impact	N/A	2.9 m/s	0.2640 seconds on front of
Velocity (THIV)			interior
Acceleration Severity	N/A	0.4	0.0565 - 0.1065 seconds
Index			
50-ms Moving Avg.			
Accelerations (MA)	N/A	-4.6 g	0.0186 - 0.0686 seconds
Longitudinal			
50-ms MA Lateral	N/A	0.8 g	0.0724 - 0.1224 seconds
50-ms MA Vertical	N/A	-2.6 g	0.1114 - 0.1614 seconds
Roll	≤75°	2.3°	1.5987 seconds
Pitch	≤75°	1.1°	0.4705 seconds
Yaw	N/A	1.8°	1.6992 seconds

Table 8.8. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 618911-01-2.

a. Values in italics are the preferred MASH values

8.8. TEST SUMMARY

Due to occupant compartment penetration in the back window from the test article, this test failed to meet evaluation criteria D of *MASH*. Figure 8.10 summarizes the results of *MASH* Test 618911-01-2.









<u> </u>	0.000 s	0.275 s	[Seq 4, #1] :	S	[Seq 6, #1]s
ò		GENERAL INFORMATION		EXIT CONDITIONS	
	Test Agency:	Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)	Exit Speed:	11.7mi/h	
-	Test Standard/Test No.:	MASH 2016, Test 3-60	Stopping Distance	52 ft downstream	
	Project No.:	618911-01-2	Stopping Distance.	3 ft to the right side	
ω	Test Date:	2024-02-09		VEHICLE DAMAGE	
		TEST ARTICLE	VDS:	12FC5	
	Type:	Support Structures	CDC:	12FCHW6	
	Name:	Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles	Max Exterior Deformation:	13 inches	
	Length:	24 feet and 10 inches	 Max Occupant Compartme 	ent 1.8 inches in the roof	
	Key Materials:	Steel luminaire pole, two steel luminaire arms	Deformation:		
	Soil Type and Condition:	Native soil, dry		OCCUPANT RISK VALUES	
		TEST VEHICLE	Longitudinal OIV:	9.6 ft/s	
	Type/Designation:	1100C	Lateral OIV:	0.3 ft/s	
	Year, Make and Model:	2019 Nissan Versa	Longitudinal Ridedown:	1.1 g	
	Inertial Mass:	2435 lb	Lateral Ridedown:	0.6 g	
õ	Dummy Mass:	165 lb	THIV:	2.9 m/s	
4	Gross Static Mass:	2600 lb	ASI:	0.4	
		IMPACT CONDITIONS	Max 50ms Longitudinal:	-4.6 g	
	Impact Speed / Impact		Max 50ms Lateral:	0.8 g	
	Angle	19.1 mi/h / 0°	Max 50ms Vertical:	-2.6 g	
	Impact Location	Centerline of vehicle aligned with centerline of luminaire	Max Roll:	2.3°	
	Kinetic Energy	29.7 kip-ft	Max Pitch:	1.1°	
		· · ·	Max Yaw:	1.8°	





Figure 8.10. Summary of Results for *MASH* Test 3-60 on Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles.

Chapter 9. MASH TEST 3-60 (CRASH TEST 618911-01-3)

9.1. TEST DESIGNATION AND ACTUAL IMPACT CONDITIONS

See Table 9.1 for details on *MASH* impact conditions for this test and Table 9.2 for the exit parameters. Figure 9.1 and Figure 9.2 depict the target impact setup.

Test Parameter	Specification	Tolerance	Measured
Impact Speed	19 mi/h	±2.5 mi/h	19.4 mi/h
Impact Angle	0°	±1.5°	0°
Kinetic Energy	34 kip-ft	≤34 kip-ft	30.5 kip-ft
Impact Location	Centerline of the luminaire pole aligned 13 inches to the right	±6 inches	Centerline of the luminaire pole aligned 13 inches to the right

Table 9.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-60, Crash Test 618911-01-3.

Tahle 9.2 I	Exit Parameters	for MASE	Test 3-60	Crash Te	st 618911_01_3
1 anic 3.2. i		IUI MASI	1 1031 3-00 ,		-31 010311-01-3.

Exit Parameter	Measured
Speed	13.3mi/h
Brakes applied post impact	> 5 seconds
Vehicle at rest position	95 ft downstream of impact point 16 ft to the right side Vehicle positioned 5° right relative to the installation
Comments:	Vehicle remained upright and stable



Figure 9.1. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles/Test Vehicle Geometrics for Test 618911-01-3.



Figure 9.2. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles/Test Vehicle Impact Location 618911-01-3.

9.2. WEATHER CONDITIONS

Table 9.3 provides the weather conditions for 618911-01-3.

Date of Test	2024-03-07
Wind Speed	10 mi/h
Wind Direction	164°
Temperature	76°F
Relative Humidity	73%
Vehicle Traveling	170°

Table 9.3	. Weather	Conditions	618911-01-3.
-----------	-----------	------------	--------------

9.3. TEST VEHICLE

Figure 9.3 and Figure 9.4 show the 2018 Nissan Versa used for the crash test. Table 9.4 shows the vehicle measurements. Figure E.1 in Appendix E.1 gives additional dimensions and information on the vehicle.



Figure 9.3. Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 618911-01-3.



Figure 9.4. Opposite Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 618911-01-3.

Test Parameter	Specification	Tolerance	Measured
Dummy Mass (if applicable) ^a	165 lb	N/A	165 lb
Inertial Mass	2420 lb	±55 lb	2428 lb
Gross Static ^a Mass	2585 lb	±55 lb	2593 lb
Wheelbase	98 inches	±5 inches	102.4 inches
Front Overhang	35 inches	±4 inches	32.5 inches
Overall Length	169 inches	±8 inches	175.4 inches
Overall Width	65 inches	±3 inches	66.7 inches
Hood Height	28 inches	±4 inches	30.5 inches
Track Width ^b	59 inches	±2 inches	58.4 inches
CG aft of Front Axle ^c	39 inches	±4 inches	41.6 inches
CG above Ground ^{c,d}	N/A	N/A	N/A

 Table 9.4. Vehicle Measurements 618911-01-3.

Note: N/A = not applicable; CG = center of gravity.

^a If a dummy is used, the gross static vehicle mass should be increased by the mass of the dummy.

^b Average of front and rear axles.

° For test inertial mass.

^d 2270P vehicle must meet minimum CG height requirement.

9.4. TEST DESCRIPTION

Table 9.5 lists events that occurred during Test 618911-01-3. Figures E.2, E.3, and E.4 in Appendix E.2 present sequential photographs during the test.

Time (s)	Events
0.0000 s	Vehicle impacted the installation
0.0470 s	Slip Base began to release
1.2010 s	Pole impacted roof and windshield of vehicle
1.5190 s	Luminaire pole impacted rear window and began to shatter it
2.7050 s	Base of luminaire pole fell to the side of the vehicle

Table 9.5. Events during Test 618911-01-3.

9.5. DAMAGE TO TEST INSTALLATION

The final resting location of the base of the luminaire pole landed 40 feet downstream and 9 feet right from the impact with the top landing upstream. The luminaire pole released from the base and was dented at the impact point. The luminaire also released from the arm and shattered. Figure 9.5 and Figure 9.6 show the damage to the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles.



Figure 9.5. Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles at Impact Location after Test 618911-01-3.



Figure 9.6. Closeup of a Mast Arm End for Four Bolt Slip Base for Luminaire Supports after Test 618911-01-3.

9.6. DAMAGE TO TEST VEHICLE

Figure 9.7 and Figure 9.8 show the damage sustained by the vehicle. Figure 9.9 and Figure 9.10 show the interior of the test vehicle. Table 9.6 and Table 9.7 provide details on the occupant compartment deformation and exterior vehicle damage.



Figure 9.7. Front of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-3.



Figure 9.8. Rear of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-3.



Figure 9.9. Overall Interior of Test Vehicle after Test 618911-01-3.



Figure 9.10. Interior of Test Vehicle on Impact Side after Test 618911-01-3.

Test Parameter	Specification	Measured
Roof	≤4.0 inches	2.8 inches
Windshield	≤3.0 inches	0.0 inches
A and B Pillars	≤5.0 overall/≤3.0 inches lateral	0.0 inches
Foot Well/Toe Pan	≤9.0 inches	0.0 inches
Floor Pan/Transmission Tunnel	≤12.0 inches	0.0 inches
Side Front Panel	≤12.0 inches	0.0 inches
Front Door (above Seat)	≤9.0 inches	0.0 inches
Front Door (below Seat)	≤12.0 inches	0.0 inches

Table 9.6. Occupant Compartment Deformation 618911-01-3.

Table 9.7. Exterior Vehicle Damage 618911-01-3.

Side Windows	Side windows remained intact		
Maximum Exterior Deformation	9 inches at the front bumper		
VDS	12FC4		
CDC	12FCHW4		
Fuel Tank Damage	None		
Description of Damage to Vehicle:	The roof was damaged with a max depth of 2.8 inches. The front windshield was cracked but no holes were present. The back windshield was shattered but there was no indication of occupant compartment penetration. The bumper, grill, and hood were damaged.		

9.7. OCCUPANT RISK FACTORS

Data from the accelerometers were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk, and the results are shown in Table 9.8. Figure E.5 in Appendix E.3 shows the vehicle angular displacements, and Figures E.6 through E.8 in Appendix E.4 show acceleration versus time traces.

Test Parameter	Specification ^a	Measured	Time
OIV, Longitudinal	≤16.0 ft/s 10.0 ft/s	8 ft/s	0.2915 seconds on front of interior
OIV, Lateral	≤40.0 ft/s 30.0 ft/s	2.3 ft/s	0.2915 seconds on front of interior
Ridedown, Longitudinal	≤20.49 g <i>15.0</i> g	0.9 g	0.6233 - 0.6333 seconds
Ridedown, Lateral	≤20.49 g <i>15.0</i> g	1.5 g	2.4015 - 2.4115 seconds
Theoretical Head Impact Velocity (THIV)	N/A	2.6 m/s	0.2945 seconds on front of interior
Acceleration Severity Index	N/A	0.4	0.0439 - 0.0939 seconds
50-ms Moving Avg. Accelerations (MA) Longitudinal	N/A	-4.7 g	0.0145 - 0.0645 seconds
50-ms MA Lateral	N/A	1.4 g	0.0057 - 0.0557 seconds
50-ms MA Vertical	N/A	-1.7 g	0.0364 - 0.0864 seconds
Roll	≤75°	1.2°	0.2003 seconds
Pitch	≤75°	1.9°	1.6251 seconds
Yaw	N/A	8.4°	2.9857 seconds

Table 9.8. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 618911-01-3.

a. Values in italics are the preferred MASH values

9.8. TEST SUMMARY

Figure 9.11 summarizes the results of *MASH* Test 618911-01-3.









<u> </u>	0.000 s	0.200 s	0.400 5		0.000 S
Ţ		GENERAL INFORMATION		EXIT CONDITIONS	
_	Test Agency:	Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)	Exit Speed:	13.5 mi/h	
ŵ	Test Standard/Test No.:	MASH 2016, Test 3-60	Stopping Distance	95 ft downstream	
•••	Project No.:	618911-01-3	Stopping Distance.	16 ft to the right side	
	Test Date:	2024-03-07		VEHICLE DAMAGE	
		TEST ARTICLE	VDS:	12FC4	
	Type:	Support Structures	CDC:	12FCHW4	
	Name:	Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles	Max Exterior Deformation:	9 inches	
	Length:	34 ft 9in	Max Occupant Compartment	ant Compartment 2.8 inches in the roof	
	Key Materials:	Steel luminaire pole, one steel luminaire arm	Deformation:		
	Soil Type and Condition:	Native soil, dry		OCCUPANT RISK VALUES	
		TEST VEHICLE	Longitudinal OIV:	8.0 ft/s	
	Type/Designation:	1100C	Lateral OIV:	2.3 ft/s	
6	Year, Make and Model:	2018 Nissan Versa	Longitudinal Ridedown:	0.9 g	
4	Inertial Mass:	2428 lb	Lateral Ridedown:	1.5 g	
	Dummy Mass:	165 lb	THIV:	8.1 m/s	
	Gross Static Mass:	2593 lb	ASI:	0.4	
		IMPACT CONDITIONS	Max 50ms Longitudinal:	-4.7 g	
	Impact Speed / Impact Angle 19.4 mi/h / 0°	10.4 mi/h / 0°	Max 50ms Lateral:	1.4 g	
		19.4 111/11 / 0	Max 50ms Vertical:	-1.7 g	
	Impact Location	Centerline of the luminaire pole aligned 13 inches to the	Max Roll:	1.2°	
		right	Max Pitch:	<u>1.9°</u>	
	Kinetic Energy	30.5 kip-ft	Max Yaw:	8.4°	



Figure 9.11. Summary of Results for *MASH* Test 3-60 on Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles.

Chapter 10. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

10.1. ASSESSMENT OF TEST RESULTS

The three crash tests reported herein were performed in accordance with *MASH* Test 3-60, on the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles.

Table 10.1 shows that the Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles evaluated in test 618911-01-3 met the performance criteria for *MASH* Test 3-60 Support Structures.

Evaluation Criteria	Description	Test 618911-01-1 <i>(MASH</i> Test 3-60)	Test 618911-01-2 (MASH Test 3- 60)	Test 618911-01-3 <i>(MASH</i> Test 3-60)
В	Test Article Broke Away, Fractured, Yielded	S	S	S
D	No Penetration into Occupant Compartment	FAIL	FAIL	S
F	Roll and Pitch Limit	S	S	S
Н	OIV Threshold	S	S	S
I	Ridedown Threshold	S	S	S
N	Vehicle Trajectory Behind Test Article Acceptable	S	S	S
Overall	Evaluation	Fail	Fail	Pass

Table 10.1. Assessment Summary for MASH Test 3-60 Tests on Four Bolt Slip Base Support for Luminaire Poles.

Note: S = Satisfactory; N/A = Not Applicable.

¹ See Table 5.2 for details

10.2. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

The luminaire pole and slip base support designed evaluated in tests 618911-01-1 and 618911-01-2 failed to meet *MASH* evaluation criteria for test 3-60. The design evaluated in test 618911-01-3 successfully met *MASH* evaluation criteria for test 3-60. The low-speed small car crash test for support structures has historically been viewed
as a critical test, as the higher speed tests are anticipated to rotate the luminaire pole above the vehicle. However, limited *MASH* testing has been completed on the slip base supports for luminaire poles. Therefore, additional *MASH* testing is recommended to evaluate the high-speed impact performance of the luminaire poles with slip bases. The results of this testing effort demonstrate the need for further research evaluating the crashworthiness of luminaire poles and their support structures.

REFERENCES

- 1. AASHTO. *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware*, Second Edition. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC, 2016.
- 2. Michie, J.D., "Recommended Procedures for the Safety Performance Evaluation of Highway Appurtenances," NCHRP Report 230, Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C., 1981.
- 3. Pfeifer, B. G., Faller, R. K., Holloway, J. C., & Post, E. R, *Full-Scale 1,800 lb. Vehicle Crash* Tests on a 4-Bolt Breakaway Slipbase Design. Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, 1991.
- 4. Buth, E., & Ivey, D. L., *Full-Scale Vehicle Crash* Tests of *Luminaire Supports*. Texas Transportation Institute, College Station, TX, 1972.

APPENDIX A. DETAILS FOR TEST 618911-01-1



S:\Accreditation-17025-2017\EIR-000 Project Files\618911-4 Bolt Slip Base for Luminaire-Kovar\618911-01-2 (3-60) Utah 30'\Drafting, 618911-01-2\618911-01-2 Impacts and Summary











APPENDIX B. DETAILS FOR TEST 618911-01-2



S:\Accreditation-17025-2017\EIR-000 Project Files\618911-4 Bolt Slip Base for Luminaire-Kovar\618911-01-2 (3-60) Utah 30'\Drafting, 618911-01-2 (hter and Summary 10-10) and Summary 10-10 and S











APPENDIX C. DETAILS FOR TEST 618911-01-3



S:\Accreditation-17025-2017\EIR-000 Project Files\618911-4 Bolt Slip Base for Luminaire-Kovar\618911-01-3 (3-60)\Drafting, 618911-01-3\618911-01-3 Impact and Summary











APPENDIX D. SUPPORTING CERTIFICATION DOCUMENTS

CONCRETE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH TEST REPORT

3.2

70

64

154.8

 Report Number:
 A1171057.0287

 Service Date:
 01/11/24

 Report Date:
 02/08/24

 Task:
 PO# 618911-01

Client



6198 Imperial Loop College Station, TX 77845-5765 979-846-3767 Reg No: F-3272

Texas Transportation Institute Riverside Campus Attn: Bill Griffith **Riverside Campus** TTI Business Office Bryan, TX 3135 TAMU College Station, TX 77843-3135 Project Number: A1171057 Material Information Sample Information 01/11/24 Sample Time: Specified Strength: 4,000 psi @ 1115 28 davs Sample Date: Sampled By: Devin Bennett BCSN40500 Weather Conditions: Mix ID: Cloudy strong wind Supplier: Texcrete Accumulative Yards: 10 Batch Size (cy): 10 Batch Time: 1043 Plant: Placement Method: Chute Truck No.: Ticket No.: 87307 Water Added Before (gal): 0 Water Added After (gal): 0 Field Test Data Sample Location: Piers Test Result Specification **Placement Location:** Piers Slump (in): Sample Description: 6-inch diameter cylinders

Project

Laboratory Test Data

Air Content (%):

Yield (Cu. Yds.):

Concrete Temp. (F):

Ambient Temp. (F):

Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):

Set No.	Spec ID	Cyl. Cond.	Avg Diam. (in)	Area (sq in)	Date Received	Date Tested	Age at Test (days)	Max Load (Ibs)	Comp Strength (psi)	Frac Type	Tested By
1	А	Good	6.00	28.27		02/07/24	27 F	123,840	4,380	1	DD
1	В	Good	6.00	28.27		02/07/24	27 F	122,390	4,330	2	DD
1	С	Good	6.00	28.27		02/07/24	27 F	114,720	4,060	2	DD
1	D						Hold				
Initial C	ure: (Outside Plastic Lid	s	Final	Cure: Field (Cured					

Comments: F = Field Cured

Note: Reported air content does not include Aggregate Correction Factor (ACF).

Samples Made By: Terracon

Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C 172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and test compressive strength samples (ASTM C 31, C 39, C 1231).

Terracon Rep.:Devin BennettReported To:Will w/ TTI

Contractor:

Services:

Report Distribution:

(1) Texas Transportation Institute, Bill Griffith (1) Texas Transportation Institute, Adam Mayer

Reviewed By:

Start/Stop: 1030-1230

xander Durigan, P.E

Project Manager

Test Methods: ASTM C 31, ASTM C143, ASTM C231, ASTM C1064

The tests were performed in general accordance with applicable ASTM, AASHTO, or DOT test methods. This report is exclusively for the use of the client indicated above and shall not be reproduced except in full without the written consent of our company. Test results transmitted herein are only applicable to the actual samples tested at the location(s) referenced and are not necessarily indicative of the properties of other apparently similar or identical materials.

CR0001, 3-31-22, Rev.7

Page 1 of 1

Photo Log

Report Number: Service Date: Report Date: Task:

A1171057.0287 01/11/24 02/08/24 PO# 618911-01



6198 Imperial Loop College Station, TX 77845-5765 979-846-3767 Reg No: F-3272



CT0001, 10-16-13, Rev.10

Page 1 of 1

TEXCRETE	183751
REMIT PAYMENT TO: 5222 Sandy Point RD. 17534 SH P.O. BOX138 Bryan, TX 77807 College Station	6 South 1, TX 77845 BCS DISPATCH - 979-316-2906
KURTEN, TX 77862 18935 Circle Lake Dr. 2687 HW Pinehurst, TX 77362 Montgomery,	PINEHURST DISPATCH - 936-232-5815 /Y 105 OFFICE - 979-985-3636 TX 77333
problem of the second to the heatest screening	Delivery Point TEXCHETE has an
TEXAS AN TRANSPORTATIO	RT HWY 21,LT STLVER HILL, RT AT
sees Juorizaw statoroo statoromin, na shive STAY, STI	RAIGHTALL THE WAY DOWN TO THE GATE
tridice and associated couldment without causing mild.	for the maining of condition delivery of conditions of the second
TIME FORMULA LOAD SIZE YARD ORDERED	DRIVER/TRUCK PLANT TRANSACTION#
	CASTILLO, JULA 89112
1/11/24 TTIRELL 5.00 and 5.00	In specials to emision volume approved to a second to a 873/07
QUANTITY CODE DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRICE EXTENDED PRICE
5.00 yd BCSN40500 MUN, 4000, B	LND, 5" HEROJIHO TO
1.00 and PETER shud to make a problem of the ving	Wesh all contacted surfaces throroin Discontion or pain cannot be failed up
Nederal antificial if any betters monter sontralla, grade	saveral hours or days seek prompt f
menco raitos no prántos o so ava cital stab standord inemia	Thank you for your business
LEFT PLANT ARRIVED JOB START UNLOADING SLUMP CONCRE	TE TEMP. AIR TEMP
the atom mean and a the skin be protected with 52,01	positione it nonwhere being ment Ticket Total
FINISH UNLOADING LEFT JOB ARRIVED AT PLANT ON SITE TESTING TERRACON	discontes de la contesta de la conte
TESTING LAB: GESSNER CME	OTHER ADDITIONAL CHARGE 1
	ADDITIONAL CHARGE 2
	GRAND TOTAL SE Excessive Water is Detrimental to Concrete Performance.
IRRITATING TO THE SKIN AND EYES Contains Portland Coment. Wear Rubber Boots and Gloves. PROLONGED To be science in peluvery to be Mode INSID	E CURB LINE) H_0 Added by Request/Authorized By: resoning this the set damage to be a set of the s
CONTACT MAY CAUSE BURNS. Avoid Contact With Eyes and Prolonged Contact with Skin. In Case of Contact with Skin or Eyes, Rinse Thoroughly With Water If Intation Parciete Call Martical Managing Marting Water Chill Deba Marty and Strateging that you can use with a second strateging that you and the second strateging that you and you and the second strateging that you and you and the second strateging that you and the second strateging	e places the GAL X 5 dur vish to to do this the <u>Creativity</u> film
CONCRETE is a PERISHABLE COMMODIFY and BECOMES THE PROPERTY of the PURCHASER UPON LEAVING the PLANT. ANY CHANGES or CANCELLATION of DRIGINAL INSTRUCTIONS MUST be TELEPROPERTIC BEFORE LOADING THE ADDITION OF THE DRIVE AND CHANGES OF CANCELLATION of the DRIVER DRIGINAL INSTRUCTIONS MUST be TELEPROPERTIC BEFORE LOADING THE ADDITION OF THE DRIVER AND CHANGES OF CANCELLATION of the DRIVER DRIVER AND CHANGES OF THE DRIVER AND CHANGES OF CANCELLATION OF THE DRIVER DRIVER AND CHANGES OF THE DRIVER AND CHANGES OF CANCELLATION OF THE DRIVER AND CHANGES OF THE DRIVER A	damage that ent property the delivery of jum remove Surcharge for credit cards
starts. The undersigned promises to pay all costs, including reasonable attorney's fees. public streats. Further as additional consi incurred in collecting any sums oved. All accounts not paid within 30 days of delivery will bear interest at the rate of 18% per	Stratery the harmess the and damage to warming notice and superier will not be responsible for any damage ich may be caused with of Livering instre fuine live
annum. Not Responsible For Reactive Aggregate or Color Quality. No Claim Allowed Unless Made at Time Material is Delivered. A \$25.00 Service Charge and Loss of the Cash Discounted wit be Collected on all Returned Checks. Demoge Charge after 50 min. will be \$100.00/mr	LOAD RECEIVED BY
×	X
	183751
	183751
	183751
	183751
	183751
	183751
	183751
	183751

REMARKS :	С 0.45% Мл 1.03% S 0.010% S 0.010% S 0.010% Cr 0.05% Cr 0.05% Cr 0.05% Ni 0.10% V 0.000% Cb 0.000% Sл 0.011% Al 0.001%	Characteristic Value	HEAT NO.:3125293 SECTION: REBAR 16MM (#5) 20'0" 420/60 GRADE: ASTM A615-22 Gr 420/60 ROLL DATE: 12/08/2023 MELT DATE: 09/05/2023 Cert. No.: 85640145 / 125293A371	CMC STEEL TEXAS 1 STEEL MILL DRIVE SEGUIN TX 78155-7
	The Following is "Material is full "100% melled. "EN10204 2004 "Contains no M "Contains no M "Contains no M "Contains no M "Contains no M "MainterLued of the else the "Bund "Meets the "Bund "Meets the "Bund "Meets the "Bund "Not report for www.P65Ma	Characteristic Value Chara	S CMC Construction Svcs College Stati S CMC Construction Svcs College Stati O 10650 State Hwy 30 I 10650 State Hwy 30 D College Station TX P College Station TX US 77845-7950 T 979 774 5900 O 979 774 5900 O 979 774 5900	We hereby cer CERTIFIED MILL TEST REPORT are accurate and con For additional copies call 800-227-6489
Page 1 OF 1 12/08/2023 10:04:27	True of the material represented by this MTR: v kiled and is Hot Rolled Steel rolled, and manufactured in the USA s 3 I compliant eld repair forcury contamination in accontance with the latest version jalty manual y America" requirements of 23 CFR635 410, 49 CFR 661 product can expose you to chemicals which are State of California to cause cancer, birth delects fuctive harm. For more information go	cteristic Value	Delivery#: 85640145 BOL#: 75713568 CUST PO#: 968874 CUST P/N: DLVRY LBS / HEAT: 48072.000 LB DLVRY PCS / HEAT: 2304 EA	tify that the test results presented here form to the reported grade specification Advant Jurcha Draw M Flicher

ify that the test results presented here orm to the reported grade specification Mun TubeLa Dow M Fuchar	Delivery#: 85538039 Delivery#: 85538039 BOL#: 75548405 CUST PAR: CUST PAR: CUST PAR: DLVRY LBS / HEAT: 874 EA DLVRY PCS / HEAT: 874 EA	teristic Value		ue of the material represented by this MTR: Liked and is Hot Roked Steel Siled, and menufactured in the USA of repair	ge 1 OF 1 09/06/2023 13:14:39	
We hereby certifies and conformation of the second seco	0 S CMC Construction Svcs College Stati S CMC Construction Svcs College Stati 0 0 1 10650 State Hwy 30 1 1 10550 State Hwy 30 1 10650 State Hwy 30 0 College Station TX P College Station TX 1 979 774 5900 T 979 774 5900	Characteristic Value Characte	Bend Test Diameter 5.000IN	% % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	ii Marufactured in act of the plant quelt Wateris This pra thoun of the spra hour othes reproduce to www.P65Wamia	Ged
CMC STEEL TEXC 1 STEEL MILL DR SEGUIN TX 781E	HEAT NO.::3125060 SECTION: REBAR 25MM (#8) 20'0" 420/6 GRADE: ASTM A615-22 Gr 420/60 ROLL DATE: 09/04/2023 MELT DATE: 08/27/2023 Cert. No.: 85538039 / 125060A041	Characteristic Value	C 0.43% Mm 1.09% P 0.007' S 0.050' S 0.23% Cu 0.23% Ni 0.147%	Mo 0.053 V 0.000 Cb 0.000 Sn 0.010 Al 0.001	Yield Strength test 1 64.4ks Tensile Strength test 1 106.8k Elongation test 1 13% Elongation Gage Lgth test 1 8IN Tensile to Yield ratio test 1 1.66 Bend Test 1 Passed	REMARKS :

APPENDIX E. MASH TEST 3-60 (CRASH TEST 618911-01-1)

E.1. VEHICLE PROPERTIES AND INFORMATION

Date:	2024-02-09	Test No.:	618911-01-1	VIN No.:	3N1CN7AP5JL863497			
Year:	2018	Make:	Nissan	Model:	Versa			
Tire Inf	lation Pressure:	36 PSI	Odometer: <u>8</u>	4158	Tire Size: <u>P185/65R15</u>			
Descrit	oe any damage t	o the vehicle pric	or to test: <u>None</u>)				
Denotes accelerometer location.								
NOTES	S: <u>None</u>		- A M		≈ •			
 Engine	Type: 4 CYL		-					
Engine Transm	Engine CID: <u>1.6 L</u> Transmission Type: Auto or Manual Manual							
Optiona None	Optional Equipment:							
Dummy Type: Mass: Seat F	y Data: <u>50th P</u> : <u>165 lb</u> Position: <u>PASSE</u>	ercentile Male	-					
Geome	etry: inches				Ç			
A <u>66.7</u>	<u>o </u>	32.50	K <u>12.50</u>	P <u>4.50</u>	U <u>15.50</u>			
В <u>59.6</u>	6 <u>0</u> G	0.00	L <u>26.00</u>	Q <u>24.00</u>)			
C <u>175</u> .	40 H	41.32	M <u>58.30</u>	R <u>16.2</u>	5 W <u>41.00</u>			
D 40.5	60 I	7.00	N 58.50	S 7.50	X 79.75			
E <u>102</u> .	<u>40 J</u>	22.50	O <u>30.50</u>	T <u>64.50</u>)			
Whe	eel Center Ht Fro	ont 11.50	Wheel Ce	nter Ht Rear 11.50) W-H -0.32			
RA	NGE LIMIT: A = 65 ±3 ind	hes; C = 169 ±8 inches; E (M+N)/2 = 59 ±2	= 98 ±5 inches; F = 35 ±4 inches; W-H < 2 inches or	inches; H = 39 ±4 inches; O (use MASH Paragraph A4.3.2	Top of Radiator Support) = 28 ±4 inches			
GVWR	Ratings:	Mass: Ib	<u>Curb</u>	<u>Test I</u>	nertial Gross Static			
Front	1750	Mfront	1444	1457	1542			
Back	<u>1687</u>	M _{rear}	948	986	1066			
Total	3389	M⊤otal	2392	2443	2608			
	N		Allowab	e TIM = 2420 lb ±55 lb Allow	able GSM = 2585 lb ± 55 lb			
lviass L lb	Jistribution:	LF: <u>762</u>	RF: <u>695</u>	LR: <u>488</u>	RR: <u>498</u>			

Figure C.1. Vehicle Properties for Test 618911-01-1.

Date:	2024-02-09	Test No.:	618911-01-	1	VIN No.:	3N1CN7AP5JL863497		
Year:	2018	Make:	Nissan		Model:	Versa		
	V	EHICLE C	RUSH ME	ASUREM	ENT SHE	ET ¹		
		1	Complete Wh	en Applicab	le			
	End Dan	nage		Side Damage				
	Undeformed	end width			Bowing: B1	X1		
	Corner	shift: Al _			B2	X2		
		A2						
	End shift at fram	e (CDC)		Bowing constant				
	(check one	e)		X1+X2				
		< 4 inches			2			
		> 4 inches						

Note: Measure C₁ to C₆ from Driver to Passenger Side in Front or Rear Impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

~		Direct I	Damage								
Specific Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Width** (CDC)	Max*** Crush	Field L***	C_1	C ₂	C3	C4	C_5	C_6	±D
1	AT FRONT BUMPER	18	12	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
2	AT FNT BUMPER	36	8	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
	Measurements recorded										
	🖌 inches or 🗌 mm										

¹Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

**Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

***Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.

Figure C.2. Exterior Crush Measurements for Test 618911-01-1.

Date:	2024-02-09	Test No.:	618911-01-1		VIN No.:	3N1CN7AP5JL863497	
Year:	2018	Make:	Nissan		Model: Ve	ersa	
(H-			C DE	CCUPANT FORMATIC	COMPARTI	MENT EMENT
	F				Before	After (inches)	Differ.
	Ğ]		A1	67.50	67.50	0.00
11-			S J J J	A2	67.25	67.25	0.00
\$				A3	67.75	67.75	0.00
				B1	40.50	40.50	0.00
				B2	39.00	34.25	-4.75
	B1, B2,	B3, B4, B5, B6		В3	40.50	40.50	0.00
			B4	36.25	35.25	-1.00	
	A1,A2	A1, A2, &Aβ		B5	36.00	29.75	-6.25
$\dashv \square$	D1, D2, & D3	803		B6	36.25	35.25	-1.00
\Box				C1	26.00	26.00	0.00
				C2	0.00	0.00	0.00
				C3	26.00	26.00	0.00
				D1	9.50	9.50	0.00
		\		D2	0.00	0.00	0.00
	// 1			D3	9.50	9.50	0.00
				E1	51.50	51.50	0.00
		k = 2		E2	51.00	51.00	0.00
				F	51.00	51.00	0.00
]	G	51.00	51.00	0.00
				Н	37.50	37.50	0.00

*Lateral area across the cab from

driver's side kick panel to passenger's side kick panel.

Figure C.3. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test 618911-01-1.

I J* 37.50

51.00

37.50

51.00

0.00

0.00
E.2. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS





(b) 0.275 s



(c) 0.550 s

(d) 0.825 s



(e) 0.1.100 s















(g) 1.650 s (h) 1.925 s Figure C.6. Sequential Photographs for Test 618911-01-1 (Perpendicular Views).

E.3. VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS





E.4. VEHICLE ACCELERATIONS



Figure C.8. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



Figure C.9. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



Z Acceleration at CG

Figure C.10. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).

APPENDIX F. MASH TEST 3-60 (CRASH TEST 618911-01-2)

F.1. VEHICLE PROPERTIES AND INFORMATION

Date: <u>2024-02-09</u>	Test No.:	618911-01-2	VIN No.: <u>3N1CN74</u>	AP2KL875916
Year: 2019	Make:	Nissan	Model: <u>Versa</u>	
Tire Inflation Pressure:	36 PSI	_ Odometer: <u>7336</u>	51 Tire Size	: <u>P185/65R15</u>
Describe any damage t	to the vehicle prio	or to test: <u>None</u>		
Denotes accelerome	ter location.			
NOTES: <u>None</u>		- A M	 - 	
		_		
Engine Type: <u>4 CYL</u>				
Engine CID: <u>1.6 L</u>		_		
Auto _or	<u> </u>			
	ND 📙 4WD	P		
Optional Equipment:		•	•	
INONE		- • • • • • •		
		- J J K (
Dummy Data:			L _S	
Type: <u>50th F</u>	ercentile Male	- F-		- K
Mass: 165 lb		_	E	
Seat Position: PASSE	ENGER SIDE	-	⊸ X	
Geometry: inches		4	C	
A <u>66.70</u> F	32.50	K <u>12.50</u>	P <u>4.50</u>	U <u>15.50</u>
B <u>59.60</u> G	0.00	L <u>26.00</u>	Q <u>24.00</u>	V <u>21.25</u>
C <u>175.40</u> H	41.00	M <u>58.30</u>	R <u>16.25</u>	W <u>41.00</u>
D 40.50	7.00	N 58.50	S 7.50	X 79.75
E <u>102.40</u> J	22.50	O <u>30.50</u>	T <u>64.50</u>	
Wheel Center Ht Fro	ont 11.50	Wheel Cente	er Ht Rear 11.50	— W-H 0.00
RANGE LIMIT: A = 65 ±3 inc	hes; C = 169 ±8 inches; E (M+N)/2 = 59 ±2	= 98 ±5 inches; F = 35 ±4 inch inches; W-H < 2 inches or use	nes; H = 39 ±4 inches; O (Top of Radiator ▶ MASH Paragraph A4.3.2	Support) = 28 ±4 inches
GVWR Ratings:	Mass: Ib	Curb	Test Inertial	<u>Gross Static</u>
Front <u>1750</u>	Mfront	1433	1460	1545
Back <u>1687</u>	M _{rear}	955	975	1055
Total <u>3389</u>	MTotal	2388	2435	2600
		Allowable Ti	M = 2420 lb ±55 lb Allowable GSM = 258	25 lb ± 55 lb
Mass Distribution:				
a	LF: <u>/45</u>	_ RF: <u>715</u>	LK: <u>510</u>	KK: <u>465</u>

Figure D.1. Vehicle Properties for Test 618911-01-2.

Date:	2024-02-09	Test No.:	618911-01-2	2	VIN No.:	3N1CN7AP2KL875916		
Year:	2019	Make:	Nissan		Model:	Versa		
	Ţ	VEHICLE C	RUSH ME.	ASUREM	ENT SHE	ET ¹		
			Complete Wh	en Applicabl	le			
	End Da	ımage		Side Damage				
	Undeformed	d end width]	Bowing: B1	X1		
	Corn	er shift: A1			B2	X2		
		A2						
	End shift at fram	ne (CDC)		Воч	ving constant	t		
	(check or	ne)			X1+X2			
		< 4 inches			2	=		
		\geq 4 inches						

Note: Measure C1 to C6 from Driver to Passenger Side in Front or Rear Impacts - Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

a .c		Direct I	Damage								
Specific Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Width*** (CDC)	Max*** Crush	Field L**	C_1	C_2	C_3	C_4	C ₅	C_6	±D
1	AT FRONT BUMPER	18	13	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
2	ABOVE FNT BUMPER	36	9	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
	Measurements recorded										
	🖌 inches or 🗌 mm										

¹Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

**Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

***Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.

Figure D.2. Exterior Crush Measurements for Test 618911-01-2.

Date:	2024-02-09	Test No.:	618911-01-2		VIN No.:	3N1CN7AP2	KL875916
Year:	2019	Make:	Nissan		Model: V	ersa	
	H-			O DE	CCUPANT FORMATIC	COMPARTI	MENT EMENT
	F				Before	After (inches)	Differ.
	G]		A1	67.50	67.50	0.00
1L				A2	67.25	67.25	0.00
\diamond				A3	67.75	67.75	0.00
				B1	40.50	39.50	-1.00
				B2	39.00	37.25	-1.75
B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6				B3	40.50	39.50	-1.00
		B4	36.25	36.25	0.00		
A1, A2, 8A,B	B5	36.00	35.25	-0.75			
\square	D1, D2, & D3	8 03 _ F		B6	36.25	36.25	0.00
		JI ((C1	26.00	26.00	0.00
				C2	0.00	0.00	0.00
				C3	26.00	26.00	0.00
				D1	9.50	9.50	0.00
				D2	0.00	0.00	0.00
	// 1			D3	9.50	9.50	0.00
		2 02		E1	51.50	51.50	0.00
		$F2 \rightarrow F2$		E2	51.00	51.00	0.00
				F	51.00	51.00	0.00
				G	51.00	51.00	0.00
				н	37.50	37.50	0.00
				Ι	37.50	37.50	0.00

*Lateral area across the cab from driver's side kick panel to passenger's side kick panel.

Figure D.3. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test 618911-01-2.

J*

51.00

51.00

0.00

F.2. **SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS**





(b) 0.275 s



(c) 0.550 s

(d) 0.825 s



(e) 1.100 s

(f) 1.375 s







(a) 0.000 s

(b) 0.275 s



```
(c) 0.550 s
```

(d) 0.825 s



(g) 1.650 s (h) 1.925 s Figure D.6. Sequential Photographs for Test 618911-01-2 (Perpendicular Views).

F.3. VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS



Figure D.7. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test 618911-01-2.

F.4. VEHICLE ACCELERATIONS



Figure D.8. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-2 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



Figure D.9. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-2 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



Figure D.10. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-2 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).

APPENDIX G. MASH TEST 3-60 (CRASH TEST 618911-01-3)

G.1. VEHICLE PROPERTIES AND INFORMATION

Date: 2024-03-07	Test No.:	618911-01-3	VIN No.: <u>3N1CN7A</u>	P2JL869967
Year: 2018	Make:	Nissan	Model: <u>Versa</u>	
Tire Inflation Pressure:	36 PSI	Odometer: <u>147348</u>	Tire Size:	P185/65R15
Describe any damage to	the vehicle prio	r to test: <u>None</u>		
Denotes acceleromete	er location.			
NOTES: <u>None</u>		- A M		— - — • — -
Engine Type: <u>4 CYL</u>				
Engine CID: <u>1.6 L</u>				
Auto or	Manual	P	R	
Optional Equipment:	<u> </u>			
None				
Dummy Data:			S S G	
Type: <u>50th Pe</u>	rcentile Male	-		
Seat Position: DRIVER	SSIDE		————Е —	
		-	c	
Geometry: inches				
A <u>66.70</u> F	32.50	K <u>12.50</u>	P <u>4.50</u>	U <u>15.50</u>
B <u>59.60</u> G	0.00	L <u>20.00</u>	Q <u>24.00</u> R 16.25	V <u>21.25</u>
D 40.50	7 00	N 58.50	R 10.25	Y <u>41.50</u>
E <u>40.30</u>	22.50	0 30.50	T 64.50	<u></u>
Wheel Center Ht From	11.50	Wheel Center Ht	Rear 11 50	
RANGE LIMIT: A = 65 ±3 inche	s; C = 169 ±8 inches; E =	98 ±5 inches; F = 35 ±4 inches; H =	= 39 ±4 inches; O (Top of Radiator (Support) = 28 ±4 inches
G\///P Potings:	(M+N)/2 = 59 ±21		Tost Inortial	Gross Statio
Front 1750	Meront	1/27	1//3	1528
Back 1687	Mrear	982	985	1065
Total 3389	MTotal	2409	2428	2593
		Allowable TIM = 242	0 lb ±55 lb Allowable GSM = 2585	5 lb ± 55 lb
Mass Distribution:	E: 747			
	.1. <u>/4/</u>	INF. 090	LIX. <u>495</u>	1111. 490

Figure D.1. Vehicle Properties for Test 618911-01-3.

Date: 2	2024-03-07	Test No.:	618911-01-3	VIN No.:	3N1CN7AP2JL869967
---------	------------	-----------	-------------	----------	-------------------

Versa

Model:

Year:

2018

VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET¹

Nissan

Make:

Complete When Applicable							
End Damage	Side Damage						
Undeformed end width	Bowing: B1 X1						
Corner shift: A1	B2 X2						
A2							
End shift at frame (CDC)	Bowing constant						
(check one)	$X1+X2$ _						
< 4 inches	2						
\geq 4 inches							

Note: Measure C_1 to C_6 from Driver to Passenger Side in Front or Rear Impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

a :a	Direct Damage										
Specific Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Width*** (CDC)	Max**** Crush	Field L**	C1	C ₂	C3	C ₄	C_5	C_6	±D
1	AT FRONT BUMPER	18	9	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-7
2	AT HOOD	31	6.5	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-6
	Measurements recorded										
	✓ inches or mm										

¹Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

**Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

***Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.

Figure D.2. Exterior Crush Measurements for Test 618911-01-3.

Date:	2024-03-07	Test No.:	618911-01-3	\	VIN No.:	3N1CN7AP2	JL869967
Year:	2018	Make:	Nissan	1	Model: Ve	rsa	
	H			O(DEF		COMPART N MEASUR	MENT EMENT Differ
	F				Delute	(inches)	Diller.
	G			A1	67.50	67.50	0.00
		7/		A2	67.25	67.25	0.00
				A3	67.75	67.75	0.00
				B1	40.50	40.50	0.00
				B2	39.00	39.00	0.00
	B1, B2, I	B3, B4, B5, B6		B3	40.50	39.50	-1.00
				B4	36.25	36.25	0.00
	A1, A2	&A 3		B5	36.00	33.25	-2.75
\ominus	D1, D2, & D3 C1, C2	8 03 - F		B6	36.25	35.75	-0.50
			C1	26.00	26.00	0.00	
~				C2	0.00	0.00	0.00
				C3	26.00	26.00	0.00
				D1	9.50	9.50	0.00
		<u> </u>		D2	0.00	0.00	0.00
	// †	† † \\		D3	9.50	9.50	0.00
				E1	51.50	51.50	0.00
				E2	51.00	51.00	0.00
				F	51.00	51.00	0.00
				G	51.00	51.00	0.00
				Н	37.50	37.50	0.00
				I	37.50	37.50	0.00
Lateral	area across the cab	from		J	51.00	51.00	0.00
driver's	side kick panel to pa	ssenger's si	de kick panel.				

Figure D.3. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test 618911-01-3.

G.2. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS



(a) 0.000 s

(b) 0.275 s



(c) 0.550 s

(d) 0.825 s



(e) 1.100 s





(g) 1.650 s (h) 1.925 s Figure D.5. Sequential Photographs for Test 618911-01-3 (Frontal Views).





G.3. VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS



Figure D.7. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test 618911-01-3.

G.4. VEHICLE ACCELERATIONS



Figure D.8. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-3 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



Figure D.9. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-3 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



Figure D.10. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test 618911-01-3 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).